



• Final Project



- Final Project
- EXAFS Theory

V

- Final Project
- EXAFS Theory
- XAS Experiments

V

- Final Project
- EXAFS Theory
- XAS Experiments
- Photoemission

V

- Final Project
- EXAFS Theory
- XAS Experiments
- Photoemission

Reading Assignment: Chapter 8.4

V

- Final Project
- EXAFS Theory
- XAS Experiments
- Photoemission

Reading Assignment: Chapter 8.4

Homework Assignment #06: Chapter 6: 1,6,7,8,9 due Friday, November 15, 2024

V

- Final Project
- EXAFS Theory
- XAS Experiments
- Photoemission

Reading Assignment: Chapter 8.4

Homework Assignment #06: Chapter 6: 1,6,7,8,9 due Friday, November 15, 2024 Please send me your choices for General User proposal and final exam presentation. I need to approve them by the end of the week!



In-class student presentations on research topics

V

In-class student presentations on research topics

• Choose a research article which features a synchrotron technique



In-class student presentations on research topics

- Choose a research article which features a synchrotron technique
- Get it approved by instructor first!



In-class student presentations on research topics

- Choose a research article which features a synchrotron technique
- Get it approved by instructor first!
- Schedule a 20 minute time on Final Exam Day (Thursday, May 4, 2023, 17:00-19:00)



In-class student presentations on research topics

- Choose a research article which features a synchrotron technique
- Get it approved by instructor first!
- Schedule a 20 minute time on Final Exam Day (Thursday, May 4, 2023, 17:00-19:00)

Final project - writing a General User Proposal



In-class student presentations on research topics

- Choose a research article which features a synchrotron technique
- Get it approved by instructor first!
- Schedule a 20 minute time on Final Exam Day (Thursday, May 4, 2023, 17:00-19:00)

Final project - writing a General User Proposal

• Think of a research problem (could be yours) that can be approached using synchrotron radiation techniques



In-class student presentations on research topics

- Choose a research article which features a synchrotron technique
- Get it approved by instructor first!
- Schedule a 20 minute time on Final Exam Day (Thursday, May 4, 2023, 17:00-19:00)

Final project - writing a General User Proposal

- Think of a research problem (could be yours) that can be approached using synchrotron radiation techniques
- Make proposal and get approval from instructor before starting



In-class student presentations on research topics

- Choose a research article which features a synchrotron technique
- Get it approved by instructor first!
- Schedule a 20 minute time on Final Exam Day (Thursday, May 4, 2023, 17:00-19:00)

Final project - writing a General User Proposal

- Think of a research problem (could be yours) that can be approached using synchrotron radiation techniques
- Make proposal and get approval from instructor before starting
- Must be different techique than your presentation!

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)





$$\mu(E) = \mu_0(E) + \Delta \mu(E)$$





$$\mu(E) = \mu_0(E) + \Delta \mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$$





$$\mu(E) = \mu_0(E) + \Delta \mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$$
$$\chi(k[E]) = \frac{\mu(E) - \mu_0(E)}{\mu_0(E)},$$

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

November 11, 2024

3 / 25





$$\mu(E) = \mu_0(E) + \Delta \mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$$
$$\chi(k[E]) = \frac{\mu(E) - \mu_0(E)}{\mu_0(E)}, \quad k = \sqrt{\frac{2m(E - E_0)}{\hbar^2}}$$

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)





$$\mu(E) = \mu_0(E) + \Delta \mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$$
$$\chi(k[E]) = \frac{\mu(E) - \mu_0(E)}{\mu_0(E)}, \quad k = \sqrt{\frac{2m(E - E_0)}{\hbar^2}}$$
$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f \rangle|^2$$

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



$$\mu(E) = \mu_0(E) + \Delta \mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$$
$$\chi(k[E]) = \frac{\mu(E) - \mu_0(E)}{\mu_0(E)}, \quad k = \sqrt{\frac{2m(E - E_0)}{\hbar^2}}$$
$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^2$$

 $\langle i |$  is the initial state which has a core level electron and the photon. This is not altered by the neighboring atom.





$$\mu(E) = \mu_0(E) + \Delta \mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$$
$$\chi(k[E]) = \frac{\mu(E) - \mu_0(E)}{\mu_0(E)}, \quad k = \sqrt{\frac{2m(E - E_0)}{\hbar^2}}$$
$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^2$$

 $\langle i |$  is the initial state which has a core level electron and the photon. This is not altered by the neighboring atom.

 ${\cal H}$  is the interaction. In the dipole approximation,  ${\cal H}=e^{ikr}\approx 1.$ 



$$\mu(E) = \mu_0(E) + \Delta \mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$$
$$\chi(k[E]) = \frac{\mu(E) - \mu_0(E)}{\mu_0(E)}, \quad k = \sqrt{\frac{2m(E - E_0)}{\hbar^2}}$$
$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^2$$

 $\langle i |$  is the initial state which has a core level electron and the photon. This is not altered by the neighboring atom.

 ${\cal H}$  is the interaction. In the dipole approximation,  ${\cal H}=e^{ikr}\approx 1.$ 

 $|f\rangle$  is the final state which has a photoelectron, a hole in the core, and no photon. This is altered by the neighboring atom: the photoelectron scatters.







Writing  $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$ , where  $\Delta f$  gives the change in photoelectron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand  $\mu$  to get

 $\mu(E) \sim |\langle i | \mathcal{H} | f \rangle|^2$ 



$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f 
angle|^2 = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0 + \Delta f 
angle \langle f_0 + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i 
angle$$



$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f 
angle|^2 = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0 + \Delta f 
angle \langle f_0 + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i 
angle \ pprox \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0 
angle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i 
angle$$



$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f 
angle|^2 = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0 + \Delta f 
angle \langle f_0 + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i 
angle \ pprox \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0 
angle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i 
angle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0 
angle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i 
angle$$



$$egin{aligned} &\mu(E)\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f
angle|^2 = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0+\Delta f
angle \langle f_0+\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i
angle \ &pprox \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0
angle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i
angle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0
angle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i
angle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f
angle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i
angle + \cdots \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{split} \mu(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^2 = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0 + \Delta f\rangle \langle f_0 + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &\approx \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \cdots \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2 + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \end{split}$$



Writing  $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$ , where  $\Delta f$  gives the change in photoelectron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand  $\mu$  to get

$$\begin{split} \iota(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^{2} = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0} + \Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0} + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &\approx \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \cdots \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}} + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}}\right] \end{split}$$

L



Writing  $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$ , where  $\Delta f$  gives the change in photoelectron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand  $\mu$  to get

$$\begin{split} u(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^{2} = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0} + \Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0} + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &\approx \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \cdots \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}} + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}}\right] \end{split}$$

Compare this to  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$  and we see that



Writing  $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$ , where  $\Delta f$  gives the change in photoelectron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand  $\mu$  to get

$$\begin{split} u(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^{2} = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0} + \Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0} + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &\approx \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \cdots \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}} + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}}\right] \end{split}$$

Compare this to  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$  and we see that

 $\mu_0(E) \sim |\langle i | \mathcal{H} | f_0 
angle|^2$  atomic background



Writing  $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$ , where  $\Delta f$  gives the change in photoelectron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand  $\mu$  to get

$$\begin{split} u(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^{2} = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0} + \Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0} + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &\approx \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \cdots \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}} + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}}\right] \end{split}$$

Compare this to  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$  and we see that

$\mu_0(E) \sim  \langle i   \mathcal{H}   f_0  angle ^2$	atomic background
$\chi(E) \sim \langle i   \mathcal{H}   \Delta f \rangle$	XAFS oscillations



Writing  $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$ , where  $\Delta f$  gives the change in photoelectron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand  $\mu$  to get

$$\begin{split} u(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^{2} = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0} + \Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0} + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &\approx \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \cdots \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}} + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}}\right] \end{split}$$

Compare this to  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$  and we see that

$$\begin{split} \mu_0(E) &\sim |\langle i | \mathcal{H} | f_0 \rangle|^2 & \text{atomic background} \\ \chi(E) &\sim \langle i | \mathcal{H} | \Delta f \rangle \sim \langle i | \Delta f \rangle & \text{XAFS oscillations} \end{split}$$


Writing  $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$ , where  $\Delta f$  gives the change in photoelectron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand  $\mu$  to get

$$\begin{split} \iota(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^{2} = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0} + \Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0} + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &\approx \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \cdots \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}} + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}}\right] \end{split}$$

Compare this to  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$  and we see that

 $\mu_0(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2$  atomic background  $\chi(E) \sim \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \sim \langle i|\Delta f\rangle$  XAFS oscillations

 $\chi(E) \sim \langle i | \Delta f \rangle$ 

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



Writing  $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$ , where  $\Delta f$  gives the change in photoelectron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand  $\mu$  to get

$$\begin{split} \iota(\mathcal{E}) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^{2} = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0} + \Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0} + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &\approx \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \cdots \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle\langle\Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}} + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle\langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}}\right] \end{split}$$

Compare this to  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$  and we see that

$$\begin{split} \mu_0(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2 & \text{atomic background} \\ \chi(E) &\sim \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \sim \langle i|\Delta f\rangle & \text{XAFS oscillations} \\ \chi(E) &\sim \langle i|\Delta f\rangle \sim \int \psi_{core}\psi_{\text{scatt}}(r) \, dr \end{split}$$

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



Writing  $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$ , where  $\Delta f$  gives the change in photoelectron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand  $\mu$  to get

$$\begin{split} \iota(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^{2} = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0} + \Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0} + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &\approx \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \cdots \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}} + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}}\right] \end{split}$$

Compare this to  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$  and we see that

$$\begin{split} \mu_0(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2 & \text{atomic background} \\ \chi(E) &\sim \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \sim \langle i|\Delta f\rangle & \text{XAFS oscillations} \\ \chi(E) &\sim \langle i|\Delta f\rangle \sim \int \psi_{core}\psi_{\text{scatt}}(r)\,dr \sim \int \delta(r)\psi_{\text{scatt}}(r)\,dr \end{split}$$

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



Writing  $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$ , where  $\Delta f$  gives the change in photoelectron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand  $\mu$  to get

$$\begin{split} \iota(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f\rangle|^{2} = \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0} + \Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0} + \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &\approx \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \cdots \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle + \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle \\ &= |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2} \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle \langle \Delta f|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}} + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_{0}|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_{0}\rangle|^{2}}\right] \end{split}$$

Compare this to  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$  and we see that

$$\begin{split} \mu_0(E) &\sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2 & \text{atomic background} \\ \chi(E) &\sim \langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \sim \langle i|\Delta f\rangle & \text{XAFS oscillations} \\ \chi(E) &\sim \langle i|\Delta f\rangle \sim \int \psi_{core}\psi_{\text{scatt}}(r)\,dr \sim \int \delta(r)\psi_{\text{scatt}}(r)\,dr = \psi_{\text{scatt}}(0) \end{split}$$

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

L



Assume that emitted photoelectron is a spherical wave





Assume that emitted photoelectron is a spherical wave

$$\psi(k,r) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{ikr}}{kr}$$





Assume that emitted photoelectron is a spherical wave

$$\psi(k,r)=\frac{e^{ikr}}{kr}$$

follow the electron as it:





Assume that emitted photoelectron is a spherical wave

$$\psi(k,r)=\frac{e^{ikr}}{kr}$$

follow the electron as it:

a. leaves the absorbing atom





Assume that emitted photoelectron is a spherical wave

$$\psi(k,r)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{ikr}}{kr}$$

follow the electron as it:

- a. leaves the absorbing atom
- b. scatters from the neighbor atom





Assume that emitted photoelectron is a spherical wave

$$\psi(k,r)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{ikr}}{kr}$$

follow the electron as it:

- a. leaves the absorbing atom
- b. scatters from the neighbor atom
- c. returns to the absorbing atom

$$\chi(k) \sim \psi_{scatt}(0) = rac{e^{ikR}}{kR} [2kf(k)e^{i\delta(k)}] rac{e^{ikR}}{kR}$$

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



5/25



Assume that emitted photoelectron is a spherical wave

$$\psi(k,r)=\frac{e^{ikr}}{kr}$$

follow the electron as it:

- a. leaves the absorbing atom
- b. scatters from the neighbor atom
- c. returns to the absorbing atom

where scattering from the neighboring atom gives the amplitude 
$$f(k)$$
 and phase-shift  $\delta(k)$  to the photoelectron

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)





Assume that emitted photoelectron is a spherical wave

$$\psi(k,r)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{ikr}}{kr}$$

follow the electron as it:

- a. leaves the absorbing atom
- b. scatters from the neighbor atom
- c. returns to the absorbing atom

$$\chi(k) \sim \psi_{scatt}(0) = \frac{e^{ikR}}{kR} [2kf(k)e^{i\delta(k)}] \frac{e^{ikR}}{kR} = \frac{2e^{i(2kR+\delta(k))}}{kR^2} f(k)$$
  
ring from the neighboring atom gives the amplitude  $f(k)$  and phase-shift  $\delta(k)$ 

where scattering from the neighboring atom gives the amplitude f(k) and phase-shift  $\delta(k)$  to the photoelectron

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



5/25

V

Including the complex conjugate,

V

Including the complex conjugate,

$$\chi(k) \sim rac{2f(k)}{kR^2} \left[ e^{i(2kR+\delta(k))} + e^{-i(2kR+\delta(k))} \right]$$

Including the complex conjugate, and simplifying

$$\chi(k) \sim \frac{2f(k)}{kR^2} \left[ e^{i(2kR+\delta(k))} + e^{-i(2kR+\delta(k))} \right] = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \cos\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$



V

Including the complex conjugate, and simplifying

$$\chi(k) \sim \frac{2f(k)}{kR^2} \left[ e^{i(2kR+\delta(k))} + e^{-i(2kR+\delta(k))} \right] = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \cos\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$

incorporating an additional  $\pi/2$  phase shift, we have the EXAFS equation for one scattering atom



Including the complex conjugate, and simplifying

$$\chi(k) \sim \frac{2f(k)}{kR^2} \left[ e^{i(2kR+\delta(k))} + e^{-i(2kR+\delta(k))} \right] = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \cos\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$

incorporating an additional  $\pi/2$  phase shift, we have the EXAFS equation for one scattering atom

$$\chi(k) = rac{f(k)}{kR^2} \sin\left[2kR + \delta(k)
ight]$$



Including the complex conjugate, and simplifying

$$\chi(k) \sim \frac{2f(k)}{kR^2} \left[ e^{i(2kR+\delta(k))} + e^{-i(2kR+\delta(k))} \right] = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \cos\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$

incorporating an additional  $\pi/2$  phase shift, we have the EXAFS equation for one scattering atom

$$\chi(k) = rac{f(k)}{kR^2} \sin \left[2kR + \delta(k)
ight]$$

for N neighboring atoms, and with thermal and static disorder of  $\sigma^2$  giving the mean-square disorder in R, we have



Including the complex conjugate, and simplifying

$$\chi(k) \sim \frac{2f(k)}{kR^2} \left[ e^{i(2kR+\delta(k))} + e^{-i(2kR+\delta(k))} \right] = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \cos\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$

incorporating an additional  $\pi/2$  phase shift, we have the EXAFS equation for one scattering atom

$$\chi(k) = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \sin\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right] \quad \longrightarrow \quad \chi(k) = \frac{Nf(k)e^{-2k^2\sigma^2}}{kR^2} \sin\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$

for N neighboring atoms, and with thermal and static disorder of  $\sigma^2$  giving the mean-square disorder in R, we have



Including the complex conjugate, and simplifying

$$\chi(k) \sim \frac{2f(k)}{kR^2} \left[ e^{i(2kR+\delta(k))} + e^{-i(2kR+\delta(k))} \right] = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \cos\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$

incorporating an additional  $\pi/2$  phase shift, we have the EXAFS equation for one scattering atom

$$\chi(k) = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \sin\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right] \quad \longrightarrow \quad \chi(k) = \frac{Nf(k)e^{-2k^2\sigma^2}}{kR^2} \sin\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$

for N neighboring atoms, and with thermal and static disorder of  $\sigma^2$  giving the mean-square disorder in R, we have

a real system has atoms at different distances and of different types so all these contributions are summed to get a better version of the EXAFS equation:

V

Including the complex conjugate, and simplifying

$$\chi(k) \sim \frac{2f(k)}{kR^2} \left[ e^{i(2kR+\delta(k))} + e^{-i(2kR+\delta(k))} \right] = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \cos\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$

incorporating an additional  $\pi/2$  phase shift, we have the EXAFS equation for one scattering atom

$$\chi(k) = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \sin\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right] \quad \longrightarrow \quad \chi(k) = \frac{Nf(k)e^{-2k^2\sigma^2}}{kR^2} \sin\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$

for N neighboring atoms, and with thermal and static disorder of  $\sigma^2$  giving the mean-square disorder in R, we have

a real system has atoms at different distances and of different types so all these contributions are summed to get a better version of the EXAFS equation:

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_{j} f_{j}(k) e^{-2k^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2}}}{k R_{j}^{2}} \sin[2k R_{j} + \delta_{j}(k)]$$

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



The scattering amplitude f(k) and phase-shift  $\delta(k)$  depend on atomic number

The scattering amplitude f(k) and phase-shift  $\delta(k)$  depend on atomic number







The scattering amplitude f(k) and phase-shift  $\delta(k)$  depend on atomic number

The scattering amplitude f(k) peaks at different k values and extends to higher-k for heavier elements. For very heavy elements, there is structure in f(k)





The scattering amplitude f(k) and phase-shift  $\delta(k)$  depend on atomic number

The scattering amplitude f(k) peaks at different k values and extends to higher-k for heavier elements. For very heavy elements, there is structure in f(k)





The scattering amplitude f(k) and phase-shift  $\delta(k)$  depend on atomic number

The scattering amplitude f(k) peaks at different k values and extends to higher-k for heavier elements. For very heavy elements, there is structure in f(k)

The phase shift  $\delta(k)$  shows sharp changes for very heavy elements



PHYS 570 - Fall 2024



The scattering amplitude f(k) and phase-shift  $\delta(k)$  depend on atomic number

The scattering amplitude f(k) peaks at different k values and extends to higher-k for heavier elements. For very heavy elements, there is structure in f(k)

The phase shift  $\delta(k)$  shows sharp changes for very heavy elements

These functions can be calculated accurately (say with the program FEFF) for modeling EX-AFS



PHYS 570 - Fall 2024



The scattering amplitude f(k) and phase-shift  $\delta(k)$  depend on atomic number

The scattering amplitude f(k) peaks at different k values and extends to higher-k for heavier elements. For very heavy elements, there is structure in f(k)

The phase shift  $\delta(k)$  shows sharp changes for very heavy elements

These functions can be calculated accurately (say with the program FEFF) for modeling EXAFS

Z can usually be determined to  $\pm 5.$  Fe and O can be distinguished, but Fe and Mn cannot be



PHYS 570 - Fall 2024





This simple description is qualitatively right, but for quantitative EXAFS calculations, it's important to consider these points:

Inelastic Losses The photoelectron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.



This simple description is qualitatively right, but for quantitative EXAFS calculations, it's important to consider these points:

Inelastic Losses The photoelectron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.

multiple scattering the photoelectron can scatter from multiple atoms. Most important at low

k, and leads to a path expansion.



- Inelastic Losses The photoelectron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.
- multiple scattering the photoelectron can scatter from multiple atoms. Most important at low k, and leads to a path expansion.
- Muffin-Tin Approximation: The scattering calculation needs a real-space potential, and a muffin-tin approximation is most tractable.



- Inelastic Losses The photoelectron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.
- multiple scattering the photoelectron can scatter from multiple atoms. Most important at low k, and leads to a path expansion.
- Muffin-Tin Approximation: The scattering calculation needs a real-space potential, and a muffin-tin approximation is most tractable.
- Polarization Effects synchrotron beams are highly polarized, which needs to be taken into account. This is simple for K-edges ( $s \rightarrow p$  is dipole), and less so for L-edges (where both  $p \rightarrow d$  and  $p \rightarrow s$  contribute).



8/25

- Inelastic Losses The photoelectron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.
- multiple scattering the photoelectron can scatter from multiple atoms. Most important at low k, and leads to a path expansion.
- Muffin-Tin Approximation: The scattering calculation needs a real-space potential, and a muffin-tin approximation is most tractable.
- Polarization Effects synchrotron beams are highly polarized, which needs to be taken into account. This is simple for K-edges ( $s \rightarrow p$  is dipole), and less so for L-edges (where both  $p \rightarrow d$  and  $p \rightarrow s$  contribute).
- Disorder Terms thermal and static disorder in real systems should be properly considered: A topic of its own.



This simple description is qualitatively right, but for quantitative EXAFS calculations, it's important to consider these points:

- Inelastic Losses The photoelectron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.
- multiple scattering the photoelectron can scatter from multiple atoms. Most important at low k, and leads to a path expansion.
- Muffin-Tin Approximation: The scattering calculation needs a real-space potential, and a muffin-tin approximation is most tractable.
- Polarization Effects synchrotron beams are highly polarized, which needs to be taken into account. This is simple for K-edges ( $s \rightarrow p$  is dipole), and less so for L-edges (where both  $p \rightarrow d$  and  $p \rightarrow s$  contribute).
- Disorder Terms thermal and static disorder in real systems should be properly considered: A topic of its own.

Generally, the calculations (FEFF, etc) include these effects. We'll discuss of few of these in more detail  $\ldots$ 

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

The photoelectron mean-free path



$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2k R_j + \delta_j(k)]$$


$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

The EXAFS equation was obtained by approximating the photoelectron wavefunction by a spherical wave,  $\psi(k, r) \sim e^{ikr}/kr$ 



$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2k R_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

The EXAFS equation was obtained by approximating the photoelectron wavefunction by a spherical wave,  $\psi(k, r) \sim e^{ikr}/kr$ 

But the interference that gives rise to EXAFS requires that the wavefunction retain coherence and inelastic scattering and the finite lifetime of the core-hole can destroy that coherence



$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2k R_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

The EXAFS equation was obtained by approximating the photoelectron wavefunction by a spherical wave,  $\psi(k, r) \sim e^{ikr}/kr$ 

But the interference that gives rise to EXAFS requires that the wavefunction retain coherence and inelastic scattering and the finite lifetime of the core-hole can destroy that coherence

Using a damped wavefunction instead



$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2k R_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

The EXAFS equation was obtained by approximating the photoelectron wavefunction by a spherical wave,  $\psi(k, r) \sim e^{ikr}/kr$ 

But the interference that gives rise to EXAFS requires that the wavefunction retain coherence and inelastic scattering and the finite lifetime of the core-hole can destroy that coherence

Using a damped wavefunction instead

$$\psi(k,r) \sim rac{e^{ikr}e^{-r/\lambda(k)}}{kr}$$



$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2k R_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

The EXAFS equation was obtained by approximating the photoelectron wavefunction by a spherical wave,  $\psi(k, r) \sim e^{ikr}/kr$ 

But the interference that gives rise to EXAFS requires that the wavefunction retain coherence and inelastic scattering and the finite lifetime of the core-hole can destroy that coherence

Using a damped wavefunction instead

$$\psi(k,r) \sim \frac{e^{ikr}e^{-r/\lambda(k)}}{kr}$$

where  $\lambda(k)$  is the photoelectron's mean free path (including core-hole lifetime), the EXAFS equation becomes:



$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

The EXAFS equation was obtained by approximating the photoelectron wavefunction by a spherical wave,  $\psi(k, r) \sim e^{ikr}/kr$ 

But the interference that gives rise to EXAFS requires that the wavefunction retain coherence and inelastic scattering and the finite lifetime of the core-hole can destroy that coherence

sing a damped wavefunction instead 
$$\psi(k,r)\sim rac{e^{i\kappa r}e^{-r/\lambda(k)}}{kr}$$

where  $\lambda(k)$  is the photoelectron's mean free path (including core-hole lifetime), the EXAFS equation becomes:

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

U

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

1 . . . .



V

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

November 11, 2024

10 / 25

 $\lambda$  is mostly independent of the system, but depends strongly on k:



Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



 $\lambda$  is mostly independent of the system, but depends strongly on k:



 $\lambda$  is mostly independent of the system, but depends strongly on k:





 $\lambda$  is mostly independent of the system, but depends strongly on k:



for 3 Å  $^{-1}$  < k < 15 Å  $^{-1}$  ,  $\lambda$  < 30 Å

along with the  $R^{-2}$  term this makes EXAFS a local atomic probe

for XANES ( $k < 3 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ ), both  $\lambda$ and  $R^{-2}$  become large: making XANES not really a local probe



10 / 25



Another important amplitude reduction term is due to the relaxation of the other electrons in the absorbing atom to the hole in the core level:



Another important amplitude reduction term is due to the relaxation of the other electrons in the absorbing atom to the hole in the core level:

$$S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1} \rangle|^2$$



Another important amplitude reduction term is due to the relaxation of the other electrons in the absorbing atom to the hole in the core level:

$$S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1} \rangle|^2$$

 $|\Phi_0^{N-1}
angle = (N-1)$  – electrons in unexcited atom



Another important amplitude reduction term is due to the relaxation of the other electrons in the absorbing atom to the hole in the core level:

$$S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1} \rangle|^2$$

 $ert \Phi_0^{N-1} 
angle = (N-1)$  – electrons in unexcited atom  $\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} ert = (N-1)$  – electrons, relaxed by core-hole



Another important amplitude reduction term is due to the relaxation of the other electrons in the absorbing atom to the hole in the core level:

$$S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1} \rangle|^2$$

 $|\Phi_0^{N-1}\rangle = (N-1)$  – electrons in unexcited atom  $\langle \Phi_f^{N-1}| = (N-1)$  – electrons, relaxed by core-hole

 $S_0^2$  is usually taken as a constant:

 $0.7 < S_0^2 < 1.0$ 



11/25

Another important amplitude reduction term is due to the relaxation of the other electrons in the absorbing atom to the hole in the core level:

$$S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1} \rangle|^2$$

 $|\Phi_0^{N-1}\rangle = (N-1)$  – electrons in unexcited atom  $\langle \Phi_f^{N-1}| = (N-1)$  – electrons, relaxed by core-hole

 $S_0^2$  is usually taken as a constant:

 $0.7 < S_0^2 < 1.0$ 

and is used as a fitting parameter that multiplies  $\chi$ :



Another important amplitude reduction term is due to the relaxation of the other electrons in the absorbing atom to the hole in the core level:

$$S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1} \rangle|^2$$

 $|\Phi_0^{N-1}\rangle = (N-1)$  – electrons in unexcited atom  $\langle \Phi_f^{N-1}| = (N-1)$  – electrons, relaxed by core-hole

 $S_0^2$  is usually taken as a constant:

 $0.7 < S_0^2 < 1.0$ 

and is used as a fitting parameter that multiplies  $\chi$ :

 $S_0^2$  is completely correlated with N (!!!)

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024



Another important amplitude reduction term is due to the relaxation of the other electrons in the absorbing atom to the hole in the core level:

$$S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1} \rangle|^2$$

 $|\Phi_0^{N-1}\rangle = (N-1)$  – electrons in unexcited atom  $\langle \Phi_f^{N-1}| = (N-1)$  – electrons, relaxed by core-hole

 $S_0^2$  is usually taken as a constant:

 $0.7 < S_0^2 < 1.0$ 

and is used as a fitting parameter that multiplies  $\chi$ :

 $S_0^2$  is completely correlated with N (!!!)

This, and other experimental and theoretical issues, make EXAFS amplitudes (and therefore N) less precise than EXAFS phases (and therefore R)

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_{j} S_{0}^{2} f_{j}(k) e^{-2R_{j}/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^{2}\sigma_{j}^{2}}}{kR_{j}^{2}} \sin \left[2kR_{j} + \delta_{j}(k)\right]$$



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum can be either over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ...



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum can be either over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ... ...over possible scattering paths (preferred) of the photoelectron.



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum can be either over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ... ...over possible scattering paths (preferred) of the photoelectron.

N<sub>j</sub>: path degeneracy



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum can be either over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ... ... over possible scattering paths (preferred) of the photoelectron.

- N<sub>j</sub>: path degeneracy
- $R_j$ : half path length



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum can be either over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ... ...over possible scattering paths (preferred) of the photoelectron.

- N<sub>j</sub>: path degeneracy
- $R_j$ : half path length
- $\sigma_j^2$ : path "disorder"



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum can be either over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ... ...over possible scattering paths (preferred) of the photoelectron.

- N<sub>j</sub>: path degeneracy
- $R_j$ : half path length
- $\sigma_i^2$ : path "disorder"
- $S_0^2$ : amplitude reduction factor



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum can be either over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ...

... over possible scattering paths (preferred) of the photoelectron.

- $N_j$ : path degeneracy k is the photoelectron wave number
- $R_j$ : half path length
- $\sigma_i^2$ : path "disorder"
- $S_0^2$ : amplitude reduction factor



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum can be either over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ...

... over possible scattering paths (preferred) of the photoelectron.

- $N_j$ : path degeneracy k is the photoelectron wave number
  - f<sub>j</sub>(k): scattering factor for the path

- $R_j$ : half path length  $\sigma_i^2$ : path "disorder"
- $S_0^2$ : amplitude reduction factor



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum can be either over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ... ... over possible scattering paths (preferred) of the photoelectron.

- N<sub>j</sub>: path degeneracy
- $R_j$ : half path length
- $\sigma_i^2$ : path "disorder"
- $S_0^2$ : amplitude reduction factor

k is the photoelectron wave number

- $f_j(k)$ : scattering factor for the path
- $\delta_j(k)$ : phase shift for the path



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum can be either over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ... ... over possible scattering paths (preferred) of the photoelectron.

- N<sub>j</sub>: path degeneracy
- $R_j$ : half path length
- $\sigma_i^2$ : path "disorder"
- $S_0^2$ : amplitude reduction factor

k is the photoelectron wave number

- $f_j(k)$ : scattering factor for the path
- $\delta_j(k)$ : phase shift for the path

 $\lambda(k)$ : photoelectron mean free path



The full EXAFS equation can be used to model and interpret experimental data

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum can be either over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ... ... over possible scattering paths (preferred) of the photoelectron.

 $N_j$ : path degeneracyk is the photoelectron wave number $R_j$ : half path length $f_j(k)$ : scattering factor for the path $\sigma_j^2$ : path "disorder" $\delta_j(k)$ : phase shift for the path $S_0^2$ : amplitude reduction factor $\lambda(k)$ : photoelectron mean free path

Because we can compute f(k) and  $\delta(k)$ , and  $\lambda(k)$  we can determine Z, R, N, and  $\sigma^2$  for scattering paths to neighboring atoms by fitting the data.



A sum over scattering paths allows multiple-scattering paths: the photoelectron scatters from more than one atom before returning to the absorbing atom:



A sum over scattering paths allows multiple-scattering paths: the photoelectron scatters from more than one atom before returning to the absorbing atom:

Single Scattering Triangle Paths



**Focussed Multiple Scattering Paths** 





A sum over scattering paths allows multiple-scattering paths: the photoelectron scatters from more than one atom before returning to the absorbing atom:

Single Scattering Triangle Paths



Focussed Multiple Scattering Paths



For multi-bounce paths, the total amplitude depends on the angles in the photoelectron path

V

A sum over scattering paths allows multiple-scattering paths: the photoelectron scatters from more than one atom before returning to the absorbing atom:

Single Scattering Triangle Paths



**Focussed Multiple Scattering Paths** 



For multi-bounce paths, the total amplitude depends on the angles in the photoelectron path Triangle Paths with angles  $45^{\circ} < \theta < 135^{\circ}$  aren't strong, but

there can be a lot of them
### Sum over paths and multiple scattering

V

A sum over scattering paths allows multiple-scattering paths: the photoelectron scatters from more than one atom before returning to the absorbing atom:

Single Scattering Triangle Paths



**Focussed Multiple Scattering Paths** 



For multi-bounce paths, the total amplitude depends on the angles in the photoelectron path

Triangle Paths with angles  $45^\circ < \theta < 135^\circ$  aren't strong, but there can be a lot of them

Linear paths, with angles  $\theta \approx 180^\circ$ , are very strong: the photoelectron can be focused through one atom to the next

## Sum over paths and multiple scattering

V

A sum over scattering paths allows multiple-scattering paths: the photoelectron scatters from more than one atom before returning to the absorbing atom:

Single Scattering Triangle Paths



**Focussed Multiple Scattering Paths** 



For multi-bounce paths, the total amplitude depends on the angles in the photoelectron path

Triangle Paths with angles  $45^\circ < \theta < 135^\circ$  aren't strong, but there can be a lot of them

Linear paths, with angles  $\theta\approx 180^\circ,$  are very strong: the photoelectron can be focused through one atom to the next

FEFF calculates these effects and includes them in f(k) and  $\delta(k)$  for the EXAFS equation so that all paths look the same in the analysis

Multiple Scattering is strongest when  $\theta>150^\circ$  and the strong angular dependence can be used to measure bond angles

## Sum over paths and multiple scattering

V

A sum over scattering paths allows multiple-scattering paths: the photoelectron scatters from more than one atom before returning to the absorbing atom:

Single Scattering Triangle Paths



**Focussed Multiple Scattering Paths** 



For multi-bounce paths, the total amplitude depends on the angles in the photoelectron path

Triangle Paths with angles  $45^\circ < \theta < 135^\circ$  aren't strong, but there can be a lot of them

Linear paths, with angles  $\theta\approx 180^\circ,$  are very strong: the photoelectron can be focused through one atom to the next

FEFF calculates these effects and includes them in f(k) and  $\delta(k)$  for the EXAFS equation so that all paths look the same in the analysis

Multiple Scattering is strongest when  $\theta>150^\circ$  and the strong angular dependence can be used to measure bond angles

For first shell analysis, multiple scattering is hardly ever needed

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

# $Sn_4 P_3/graphite \ composite \ anode$

 $Sn_4P_3$  synthesized by high energy ball milling, then ball milled again with graphite to obtain composite

"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024



# $Sn_4 P_3/graphite \ composite \ anode$

 $Sn_4P_3$  synthesized by high energy ball milling, then ball milled again with graphite to obtain composite





"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

November 11, 2024

# $\mathsf{Sn}_4\mathsf{P}_3/\mathsf{graphite}\ \mathsf{composite}\ \mathsf{anode}$

 $Sn_4P_3$  synthesized by high energy ball milling, then ball milled again with graphite to obtain composite





"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

November 11, 2024



# $\mathsf{Sn}_4\mathsf{P}_3/\mathsf{graphite}\ \mathsf{composite}\ \mathsf{anode}$

 $Sn_4P_3$  synthesized by high energy ball milling, then ball milled again with graphite to obtain composite







 $Sn_4P_3$ /graphite composite shows stable, reversible capacity of 610 mAh/g for 100 cycles at C/2 compared to rapidly fading pure  $Sn_4P_3$  material.

"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

## In situ EXAFS of $Sn_4P_3$ /graphite





"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

November 11, 2024 15 / 25

#### In situ EXAFS of Sn<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/graphite





"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

November 11, 2024

## In situ EXAFS of $Sn_4P_3$ /graphite





Results for *in situ* coin cell are close to the capacity of the unmodified cell at C/4, indicating good reversibility by the  $3^{rd}$  cycle.

"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



By the third lithiation and third delithiation, the difference between pure  $Sn_4P_3$  and the  $Sn_4P_3/graphite$ composite is clear.

"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

November 11, 2024 16 / 25



By the third lithiation and third delithiation, the difference between pure  $Sn_4P_3$  and the  $Sn_4P_3/graphite$ composite is clear.

 $Sn_4P_3$ /graphite composite

"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)





 $Sn_4P_3$ /graphite composite

By the third lithiation and third delithiation, the difference between pure  $Sn_4P_3$  and the  $Sn_4P_3/graphite$ composite is clear.



"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

November 11, 2024 16 / 25





Even at the  $100^{th}$  delithiation, the Sn<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/graphite composite measured *ex situ* is showing the same features as at the 3<sup>rd</sup> cycle.

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

<sup>&</sup>quot;In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).



OCV spectrum fits well to  $Sn_4P_3$  structure with an additional Sn-O path

 ${\rm Sn_4P_3}$  structure persists through first two cycles with possible enhancement of the Sn-Sn path at 2.6 Å



On third lithiation (charge) the Sn-P path is gone and only Sn-Li remains

Delithiation (discharge) produces Sn-P and Sn-Sn paths which are not those of  ${\rm Sn_4P_3}$  but are reversible

## Example fits

Fit EXAFS for bond lengths and co-ordination numbers



The Sn-O peak at OCV is due to ball milling, which introduces oxygen.



"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

## Example fits

#### Fit EXAFS for bond lengths and coordination numbers



By the  $3^{rd}$  lithiated state, the EXAFS is dominated by Sn-Li paths at 2.7 Å and 3.0 Å.



"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

# Example fits





At the  $3^{rd}$  delithiation, the Sn-P path reappears but at a shorter distance, in an amorphous SnP<sub>x</sub> phase.



"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024



Y. Ding et al., "In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024







Sn-Sn distance close to those of metallic Sn indicate the presence of small Sn clusters which may never fully lithiate

Y. Ding et al., "In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



20 / 25



Sn-Sn distance close to those of metallic Sn indicate the presence of small Sn clusters which may never fully lithiate

Longer Sn-P distance characteristic of  $Sn_4P_3$  is gone after initial conversion to the  $SnP_x$  amorphous phase is complete

Y. Ding et al., "In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



20 / 25



Sn-Sn distance close to those of metallic Sn indicate the presence of small Sn clusters which may never fully lithiate

Longer Sn-P distance characteristic of  $Sn_4P_3$  is gone after initial conversion to the  $SnP_x$  amorphous phase is complete

Only 2 Sn-Li paths present in this material

Y. Ding et al., "In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)





Sn-Sn distance close to those of metallic Sn indicate the presence of small Sn clusters which may never fully lithiate

Longer Sn-P distance characteristic of  $Sn_4P_3$  is gone after initial conversion to the  $SnP_x$  amorphous phase is complete

Only 2 Sn-Li paths present in this material

Sn-O distances remain constant, likely indicative of surface contamination

Y. Ding et al., "In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



21 / 25



Sn-O neighbors decrease quickly, remaining small and partially reversible up to 100 cycles

Y. Ding et al., "In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



21 / 25



Sn-O neighbors decrease quickly, remaining small and partially reversible up to 100 cycles

Sn-P reversible after initial conversion with a slow decrease which correlates to capacity loss

Y. Ding et al., "In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



21 / 25



Sn-O neighbors decrease quickly, remaining small and partially reversible up to 100 cycles

Sn-P reversible after initial conversion with a slow decrease which correlates to capacity loss

Very small Sn-Sn metallic clusters present throughout

Y. Ding et al., "In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



Sn-O neighbors decrease quickly, remaining small and partially reversible up to 100 cycles

Sn-P reversible after initial conversion with a slow decrease which correlates to capacity loss

Very small Sn-Sn metallic clusters present throughout

The  $\sim$ 3.3 Sn-P neighbors in the delithiated state indicate a possibly tetrahedral Sn coordination in SnP<sub>x</sub>

Y. Ding et al., "In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)





Amorphous  $SnP_x$  fully formed at start of  $3^{rd}$  cvcle



"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)





Amorphous  $SnP_x$  fully formed at start of  $3^{rd}$  cycle



a. Sn lithiating

"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)





Amorphous  $SnP_x$  fully formed at start of  $3^{rd}$  cvcle



- a. Sn lithiating
- **b**.  $SnP_x$  all gone

"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)





Amorphous  $SnP_x$  fully formed at start of  $3^{rd}$  cvcle



- a. Sn lithiating
- **b.**  $SnP_x$  all gone
- c. full lithiation

"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)





Amorphous  $SnP_x$  fully formed at start of  $3^{rd}$  cvcle



- a. Sn lithiating
- **b.**  $SnP_x$  all gone
- c. full lithiation
- d. Sn appears

"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)





Amorphous  $SnP_x$  fully formed at start of  $3^{rd}$  cvcle



- a. Sn lithiating
- **b.**  $SnP_x$  all gone
- c. full lithiation
- d. Sn appears
- e.  $SnP_x$  appears

"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)



Amorphous  $SnP_x$  fully formed at start of  $3^{rd}$  cvcle



- a. Sn lithiating
- **b.**  $SnP_x$  all gone
- c. full lithiation
- d. Sn appears
- e.  $SnP_x$  appears
  - f. Sn delithiated

"In situ EXAFS-derived mechanism of highly reversible tin phosphide/graphite composite anode for Li-ion batteries," Y. Ding, Z. Li, E.V. Timofeeva, and C.U. Segre, Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1702134 (2018).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech)




Photoemission is the complement to XAFS. It probes the filled states below the Fermi level





Photoemission is the complement to XAFS. It probes the filled states below the Fermi level

The dispersion relation of electrons in a solid,  $\mathcal{E}(\vec{q})$  can be probed by angle resolved photoemission since both the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , and the angle,  $\theta$  are measured





Photoemission is the complement to XAFS. It probes the filled states below the Fermi level

The dispersion relation of electrons in a solid,  $\mathcal{E}(\vec{q})$  can be probed by angle resolved photoemission since both the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , and the angle,  $\theta$  are measured

$$\mathcal{E}_{kin}, \ heta \ \longrightarrow \ \mathcal{E}(\vec{q})$$





Photoemission is the complement to XAFS. It probes the filled states below the Fermi level

The dispersion relation of electrons in a solid,  $\mathcal{E}(\vec{q})$  can be probed by angle resolved photoemission since both the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , and the angle,  $\theta$  are measured

$${\cal E}_{kin}, \; heta \; \longrightarrow \; {\cal E}(ec q)$$

The core levels are tightly bound at an energy  $\mathcal{E}_C$  below the Fermi level



23 / 25



Photoemission is the complement to XAFS. It probes the filled states below the Fermi level

The dispersion relation of electrons in a solid,  $\mathcal{E}(\vec{q})$  can be probed by angle resolved photoemission since both the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , and the angle,  $\theta$  are measured

$$\mathcal{E}_{kin}, \ heta \ \longrightarrow \ \mathcal{E}(\vec{q})$$

The core levels are tightly bound at an energy  $\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$  below the Fermi level

The work function,  $\phi$ , is the minimum energy required to promote an electron from the top of the valence band at the Fermi energy,  $\mathcal{E}_F$ , to the vacuum energy,  $\mathcal{E}_V$ 





With the incident photon energy,  $\hbar\omega$ , held constant, an analyzer is used to measure the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , of the photoelectrons emitted from the surface of the sample





With the incident photon energy,  $\hbar\omega$ , held constant, an analyzer is used to measure the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , of the photoelectrons emitted from the surface of the sample

if  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is the initial energy of the electron, the binding energy,  $\mathcal{E}_B$  is





With the incident photon energy,  $\hbar\omega$ , held constant, an analyzer is used to measure the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , of the photoelectrons emitted from the surface of the sample

if  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is the initial energy of the electron, the binding energy,  $\mathcal{E}_B$  is

$$\mathcal{E}_B = \mathcal{E}_F - \mathcal{E}_i$$





With the incident photon energy,  $\hbar\omega$ , held constant, an analyzer is used to measure the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , of the photoelectrons emitted from the surface of the sample

if  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is the initial energy of the electron, the binding energy,  $\mathcal{E}_B$  is

$$\mathcal{E}_B = \mathcal{E}_F - \mathcal{E}_i$$

and the measured kinetic energy gives the binding energy





With the incident photon energy,  $\hbar\omega$ , held constant, an analyzer is used to measure the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , of the photoelectrons emitted from the surface of the sample

if  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is the initial energy of the electron, the binding energy,  $\mathcal{E}_B$  is

$$\mathcal{E}_B = \mathcal{E}_F - \mathcal{E}_i$$

and the measured kinetic energy gives the binding energy

$$\mathcal{E}_{kin} = \frac{\hbar^2 q_v^2}{2m}$$





With the incident photon energy,  $\hbar\omega$ , held constant, an analyzer is used to measure the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , of the photoelectrons emitted from the surface of the sample

if  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is the initial energy of the electron, the binding energy,  $\mathcal{E}_B$  is

$$\mathcal{E}_B = \mathcal{E}_F - \mathcal{E}_i$$

and the measured kinetic energy gives the binding energy

$$\mathcal{E}_{kin} = rac{\hbar^2 q_v^2}{2m} = \hbar \omega - \phi - \mathcal{E}_B$$





With the incident photon energy,  $\hbar\omega$ , held constant, an analyzer is used to measure the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , of the photoelectrons emitted from the surface of the sample

if  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is the initial energy of the electron, the binding energy,  $\mathcal{E}_B$  is

$$\mathcal{E}_B = \mathcal{E}_F - \mathcal{E}_i$$

and the measured kinetic energy gives the binding energy

$$\mathcal{E}_{kin} = rac{\hbar^2 q_v^2}{2m} = \hbar \omega - \phi - \mathcal{E}_B$$

the maximum kinetic energy measured is thus related to the Fermi energy



24 / 25



With the incident photon energy,  $\hbar\omega$ , held constant, an analyzer is used to measure the kinetic energy,  $\mathcal{E}_{kin}$ , of the photoelectrons emitted from the surface of the sample

if  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is the initial energy of the electron, the binding energy,  $\mathcal{E}_B$  is

$$\mathcal{E}_B = \mathcal{E}_F - \mathcal{E}_i$$

and the measured kinetic energy gives the binding energy

$$\mathcal{E}_{kin} = \frac{\hbar^2 q_{\nu}^2}{2m} = \hbar \omega - \phi - \mathcal{E}_B$$

the maximum kinetic energy measured is thus related to the Fermi energy

the core states are used to fingerprint the chemical composition of the sample

PHYS 570 - Fall 2024

The electric field between the two hemispheres of radius  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  has a  $R^2$  dependence from the center of the hemispheres





The electric field between the two hemispheres of radius  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  has a  $R^2$  dependence from the center of the hemispheres

Electrons with  $\mathcal{E}_0,$  called the "pass energy", will follow a circular path of radius

$$R_0 = (R_1 + R_2)/2$$





25 / 25

The electric field between the two hemispheres of radius  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  has a  $R^2$  dependence from the center of the hemispheres

Electrons with  $\mathcal{E}_0$ , called the "pass energy", will follow a circular path of radius

 $R_0 = (R_1 + R_2)/2$ 

Electrons with lower energy will fall inside this circular path while those with higher energy will fall outside





V

25 / 25

The electric field between the two hemispheres of radius  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  has a  $R^2$  dependence from the center of the hemispheres

Electrons with  $\mathcal{E}_0,$  called the "pass energy", will follow a circular path of radius

 $R_0 = (R_1 + R_2)/2$ 

Electrons with lower energy will fall inside this circular path while those with higher energy will fall outside

Electrons with different azimuthal exit angles  $\omega$  will map to different positions on the 2D detector

