<span id="page-0-0"></span>

- Information about:
	- (a) Final presentation
	- (b) Final project

- Information about:
	- (a) Final presentation
	- (b) Final project
- Porod analysis

- Information about:
	- (a) Final presentation
	- (b) Final project
- Porod analysis
- SAXS papers

- Information about:
	- (a) Final presentation
	- (b) Final project
- Porod analysis
- SAXS papers

Reading Assignment: Chapter 5.2–5.3

- Information about:
	- (a) Final presentation
	- (b) Final project
- Porod analysis
- SAXS papers

Reading Assignment: Chapter 5.2–5.3

Homework Assignment #03: Chapter 3: 1,3,4,6,8 due Friday, October 05, 2024

- Information about:
	- (a) Final presentation
	- (b) Final project
- Porod analysis
- SAXS papers

Reading Assignment: Chapter 5.2–5.3

Homework Assignment #03: Chapter 3: 1,3,4,6,8 due Friday, October 05, 2024

Homework Assignment #04: Chapter 4: 2,4,6,7,10 due Monday, October 14, 2024



1. Choose paper for presentation



- 1. Choose paper for presentation
- 2. Clear it with me!



- 1. Choose paper for presentation
- 2. Clear it with me!
- 3. Do some background research on the technique



- 1. Choose paper for presentation
- 2. Clear it with me!
- 3. Do some background research on the technique
- 4. Prepare a 15 minute presentation



- 1. Choose paper for presentation
- 2. Clear it with me!
- 3. Do some background research on the technique
- 4. Prepare a 15 minute presentation
- 5. Be ready for questions!



1. Come up with a potential experiment



- 1. Come up with a potential experiment
- 2. Make sure it is a different technique than your final presentation



- 1. Come up with a potential experiment
- 2. Make sure it is a different technique than your final presentation
- 3. Clear it with me!



- 1. Come up with a potential experiment
- 2. Make sure it is a different technique than your final presentation
- 3. Clear it with me!
- 4. Find appropriate beamline(s) and if needed contact the beamline scientists (they are used to it)



- 1. Come up with a potential experiment
- 2. Make sure it is a different technique than your final presentation
- 3. Clear it with me!
- 4. Find appropriate beamline(s) and if needed contact the beamline scientists (they are used to it)
- 5. Lay out proposed experiment (you can ask for help!)



- 1. Come up with a potential experiment
- 2. Make sure it is a different technique than your final presentation
- 3. Clear it with me!
- 4. Find appropriate beamline(s) and if needed contact the beamline scientists (they are used to it)
- 5. Lay out proposed experiment (you can ask for help!)
- 6. Make sure to give reasonable answers forall the questions



- 1. Come up with a potential experiment
- 2. Make sure it is a different technique than your final presentation
- 3. Clear it with me!
- 4. Find appropriate beamline(s) and if needed contact the beamline scientists (they are used to it)
- 5. Lay out proposed experiment (you can ask for help!)
- 6. Make sure to give reasonable answers forall the questions
- 7. Put me as one of the investigators of the proposal

The SAXS scattered intensity from a dilute solution depends on the single particle form factor,  $\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})$ , the volume of the particle,  $V_p$ , and the density difference from the solvent,  $\Delta \rho = (\rho_{sl,p} - \rho_{sl,0})$ 



The SAXS scattered intensity from a dilute solution depends on the single particle form factor,  $\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})$ , the volume of the particle,  $V_p$ , and the density difference from the solvent,  $\Delta \rho = (\rho_{sl,p} - \rho_{sl,0})$ 

 $I^{\textit{SAXS}}(\vec{Q}) = \Delta \rho^2 V^2_{\rho} |\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})|^2$ 



The SAXS scattered intensity from a dilute solution depends on the single particle form factor,  $\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})$ , the volume of the particle,  $V_p$ , and the density difference from the solvent,  $\Delta \rho = (\rho_{sl,p} - \rho_{sl,0})$ 

 $I^{\textit{SAXS}}(\vec{Q}) = \Delta \rho^2 V^2_{\rho} |\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})|^2$ 

the long wavelength limit ( $QR \rightarrow 0$ ) is called the Guinier regime and it is possible to extract the radius of gyration  $R_g$  of the particle



The SAXS scattered intensity from a dilute solution depends on the single particle form factor,  $\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})$ , the volume of the particle,  $V_{\text{p}}$ , and the density difference from the solvent,  $\Delta \rho = (\rho_{sl,p} - \rho_{sl,0})$ 

$$
I^{SAXS}(\vec{Q}) = \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 |\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})|^2
$$
  

$$
I^{SAXS}(Q) \approx \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 e^{-Q^2 R_g^2/3}
$$

the long wavelength limit ( $QR \rightarrow 0$ ) is called the Guinier regime and it is possible to extract the radius of gyration  $R_g$  of the particle

The SAXS scattered intensity from a dilute solution depends on the single particle form factor,  $\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})$ , the volume of the particle,  $V_{p}$ , and the density difference from the solvent,  $\Delta \rho = (\rho_{sl,p} - \rho_{sl,0})$ 

$$
I^{SAXS}(\vec{Q}) = \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 |\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})|^2
$$
  

$$
I^{SAXS}(Q) \approx \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 e^{-Q^2 R_g^2/3}
$$

the long wavelength limit ( $QR \rightarrow 0$ ) is called the Guinier regime and it is possible to extract the radius of gyration  $R_g$  of the particle



The SAXS scattered intensity from a dilute solution depends on the single particle form factor,  $\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})$ , the volume of the particle,  $V_{p}$ , and the density difference from the solvent,  $\Delta \rho = (\rho_{sl,p} - \rho_{sl,0})$ 

$$
I^{SAXS}(\vec{Q}) = \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 |\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})|^2
$$
  

$$
I^{SAXS}(Q) \approx \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 e^{-Q^2 R_g^2/3}
$$

the long wavelength limit ( $QR \rightarrow 0$ ) is called the Guinier regime and it is possible to extract the radius of gyration  $R_g$  of the particle

$$
R_g^2 = \frac{\int_{V_p} \rho_{sl,p}(\vec{r}) r^2 dV_p}{\int_{V_p} \rho_{sl,p}(\vec{r}) dV_p}
$$



Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 4 / 24





$$
\mathcal{F}(Q) = 3 \left[ \frac{\sin(QR)}{Q^3 R^3} - \frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]
$$



$$
\mathcal{F}(Q) = 3 \left[ \frac{\sin(QR)}{Q^3 R^3} - \frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right] \approx 3 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]
$$



$$
\mathcal{F}(Q) = 3 \left[ \frac{\sin(QR)}{Q^3 R^3} - \frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right] \approx 3 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]
$$

$$
I(Q) = 9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]^2
$$



In the short wavelength limit ( $QR \gg 1$ ), the form factor for a sphere can be approximated

$$
\mathcal{F}(Q) = 3 \left[ \frac{\sin(QR)}{Q^3 R^3} - \frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right] \approx 3 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]
$$

$$
I(Q) = 9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]^2
$$

$$
= 9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 \frac{\langle \cos^2(QR) \rangle}{Q^4 R^4}
$$

1



$$
\mathcal{F}(Q) = 3 \left[ \frac{\sin(QR)}{Q^3 R^3} - \frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right] \approx 3 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]
$$

$$
I(Q) = 9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]^2
$$

$$
= 9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 \frac{\left\langle \cos^2(QR) \right\rangle}{Q^4 R^4} = \frac{9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2}{Q^4 R^4} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)
$$



In the short wavelength limit ( $QR \gg 1$ ), the form factor for a sphere can be approximated

$$
\mathcal{F}(Q) = 3 \left[ \frac{\sin(QR)}{Q^3 R^3} - \frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right] \approx 3 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]
$$

$$
I(Q) = 9\Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]^2
$$

$$
= 9\Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 \frac{\left\langle \cos^2(QR) \right\rangle}{Q^4 R^4} = \frac{9\Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2}{Q^4 R^4} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)
$$

$$
I(Q) = \frac{2\pi \Delta \rho^2}{Q^4 R^4} S_\rho
$$

 $Q<sup>4</sup>$ 

 $\mathcal{P}_p$ 



In the short wavelength limit ( $QR \gg 1$ ), the form factor for a sphere can be approximated

$$
\mathcal{F}(Q) = 3 \left[ \frac{\sin(QR)}{Q^3 R^3} - \frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right] \approx 3 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]
$$

$$
I(Q) = 9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]^2
$$

$$
= 9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 \frac{\langle \cos^2(QR) \rangle}{Q^4 R^4} = \frac{9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2}{Q^4 R^4} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)
$$

$$
I(Q) = 2 \pi \Delta \rho^2 \rho
$$



 $Q<sup>4</sup>$ 

 $\cdot S_p$ 

 $I(Q)$ 

1



In the short wavelength limit ( $QR \gg 1$ ), the form factor for a sphere can be approximated

$$
\mathcal{F}(Q) = 3 \left[ \frac{\sin(QR)}{Q^3 R^3} - \frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right] \approx 3 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]
$$

$$
I(Q) = 9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 \left[ -\frac{\cos(QR)}{Q^2 R^2} \right]^2
$$

$$
= 9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2 \frac{\left< \cos^2(QR) \right>}{Q^4 R^4} = \frac{9 \Delta \rho^2 V_\rho^2}{Q^4 R^4} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)
$$

$$
I(Q) = \frac{2\pi\Delta\rho^2}{Q^4}S_p
$$

power law drop as  $Q^{-4}$  for spheres

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 5 / 24

1

10-1.4 10-1.2 10-1.0 10-0.8 Q (Å-1) 10-6 10-5 10-4 10-3 10-2 10-1 10<sup>0</sup> I(Q) R=100Å R=200Å

# Shape effect on scattering

The shape of the particle will have a significant effect on the SAXS since the form factor is derived from an integral over the particle volume,  $V_p$ .



# Shape effect on scattering

The shape of the particle will have a significant effect on the SAXS since the form factor is derived from an integral over the particle volume,  $V_p$ .

If the particle is not spherical, then its "dimensionality" is not 3 and this will affect the form factor and introduce a different power law in the Porod regime.
The shape of the particle will have a significant effect on the SAXS since the form factor is derived from an integral over the particle volume,  $V_p$ .

If the particle is not spherical, then its "dimensionality" is not 3 and this will affect the form factor and introduce a different power law in the Porod regime.

# shape order

 $dV_p = 4\pi r^2 dr$ sphere



The shape of the particle will have a significant effect on the SAXS since the form factor is derived from an integral over the particle volume,  $V_p$ .

If the particle is not spherical, then its "dimensionality" is not 3 and this will affect the form factor and introduce a different power law in the Porod regime.





The shape of the particle will have a significant effect on the SAXS since the form factor is derived from an integral over the particle volume,  $V_p$ .

If the particle is not spherical, then its "dimensionality" is not 3 and this will affect the form factor and introduce a different power law in the Porod regime.





The shape of the particle will have a significant effect on the SAXS since the form factor is derived from an integral over the particle volume,  $V_p$ .

If the particle is not spherical, then its "dimensionality" is not 3 and this will affect the form factor and introduce a different power law in the Porod regime.





Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) **[PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0)** October 02, 2024 6/24



The shape of the particle will have a significant effect on the SAXS since the form factor is derived from an integral over the particle volume,  $V_p$ .

If the particle is not spherical, then its "dimensionality" is not 3 and this will affect the form factor and introduce a different power law in the Porod regime.





Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) **[PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0)** October 02, 2024 6/24



The shape of the particle will have a significant effect on the SAXS since the form factor is derived from an integral over the particle volume,  $V_p$ .

If the particle is not spherical, then its "dimensionality" is not 3 and this will affect the form factor and introduce a different power law in the Porod regime.





Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) **[PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0)** October 02, 2024 6/24

The shape of the particle will have a significant effect on the SAXS since the form factor is derived from an integral over the particle volume,  $V_p$ .

If the particle is not spherical, then its "dimensionality" is not 3 and this will affect the form factor and introduce a different power law in the Porod regime.







The shape of the particle will have a significant effect on the SAXS since the form factor is derived from an integral over the particle volume,  $V_p$ .

If the particle is not spherical, then its "dimensionality" is not 3 and this will affect the form factor and introduce a different power law in the Porod regime.









Analysis is simple when all particles are the same size, i.e. monodispersed and dilute,



Analysis is simple when all particles are the same size, i.e. monodispersed and dilute, more complex models must be used when the particles are polydispersed with a distribution function  $D(R)$ 



Analysis is simple when all particles are the same size, i.e. monodispersed and dilute, more complex models must be used when the particles are polydispersed with a distribution function  $D(R)$ 

$$
I^{SAXS}(Q) = \Delta \rho^2 \int_0^\infty D(R) V_p(R)^2 |\mathcal{F}(Q,R)|^2 dR
$$



Analysis is simple when all particles are the same size, i.e. monodispersed and dilute, more complex models must be used when the particles are polydispersed with a distribution function  $D(R)$ 

$$
I^{SASS}(Q) = \Delta \rho^2 \int_0^\infty D(R) V_p(R)^2 |\mathcal{F}(Q,R)|^2 dR
$$

the Schulz function is commonly used to model  $D(R)$  as it goes to a delta function as the percentage polydispersivity,  $p \rightarrow 0$ 



Analysis is simple when all particles are the same size, i.e. monodispersed and dilute, more complex models must be used when the particles are polydispersed with a distribution function  $D(R)$ 

$$
I^{SAXS}(Q) = \Delta \rho^2 \int_0^\infty D(R) V_p(R)^2 |\mathcal{F}(Q,R)|^2 dR
$$

the Schulz function is commonly used to model  $D(R)$  as it goes to a delta function as the percentage polydispersivity,  $p \rightarrow 0$ 

$$
p = 0
$$
  

$$
p = 10\%
$$
  

$$
p = 20\%
$$





For inhomogeneous samples, using simple Guinier and Porod models can give an incorrect description of the actual sample



For inhomogeneous samples, using simple Guinier and Porod models can give an incorrect description of the actual sample

This can be solved, judiciously, using the Unified model proposed by Beaucage in 1995



For inhomogeneous samples, using simple Guinier and Porod models can give an incorrect description of the actual sample

This can be solved, judiciously, using the Unified model proposed by Beaucage in 1995

The idea is to include multiple populations each with its own Guinier dependence with  $R<sub>e</sub>$  and a power law dependence that asymptotically approaches the Porod law for spheres



For inhomogeneous samples, using simple Guinier and Porod models can give an incorrect description of the actual sample

This can be solved, judiciously, using the Unified model proposed by Beaucage in 1995 The idea is to include multiple populations each with its own Guinier dependence with  $R<sub>e</sub>$  and a power law dependence that asymptotically approaches the Porod law for spheres

$$
I(q) = B_{bkg} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_i e^{-\frac{q^2 R_{g,i}^2}{3}} + e^{-\frac{q^2 R_{g,i-1}^2}{3}} B_i \left[ \frac{\left( erf \left\{ \frac{qR_{g,i}}{\sqrt{6}} \right\} \right)^3}{q} \right]^{P_i}
$$



For inhomogeneous samples, using simple Guinier and Porod models can give an incorrect description of the actual sample

This can be solved, judiciously, using the Unified model proposed by Beaucage in 1995 The idea is to include multiple populations each with its own Guinier dependence with  $R<sub>e</sub>$  and a power law dependence that asymptotically approaches the Porod law for spheres

$$
I(q) = B_{bkg} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_i e^{-\frac{q^2 R_{g,i}^2}{3}} + e^{-\frac{q^2 R_{g,i-1}^2}{3}} B_i \left[ \frac{\left( erf \left\{ \frac{q R_{g,i}}{\sqrt{6}} \right\} \right)^3}{q} \right]^{P_i}
$$

The sum is over structural levels starting with the smallest. For each level there is a Guinier exponential prefactor  $(G_i)$ , a radius of gyration  $(R_{g,i})$ , a power law constant prefactor  $(B_i)$ , and a power law exponent  $(P_i)$ .







$$
I(q) = B_{bkg} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_i e^{-\frac{q^2 R_{g,i}^2}{3}} + e^{-\frac{q^2 R_{g,i-1}^2}{3}} B_i \left[ \frac{\left( erf \left\{ \frac{q R_{g,i}}{\sqrt{6}} \right\} \right)^3}{q} \right]^{P_i}
$$

 $R_{g,i-1}$  is the high-q power law cutoff for each level and is taken to be the radius of gyration of the previous level to avoid double counting.



#### $I(q)=B_{bkg}+\sum$ N  $i=1$  $G_i e^{-\frac{q^2 R_{g,i}^2}{3}} + e^{-\frac{q^2 R_{g,i-1}^2}{3}} B_i$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\vert$  $\left(\text{erf }\left\{\frac{qR_{g,j}}{\sqrt{6}}\right.\right.$  $\left\langle \right\rangle ^{3}$ q 1  $\vert$ Pi

 $R_{g,i-1}$  is the high-q power law cutoff for each level and is taken to be the radius of gyration of the previous level to avoid double counting.

Additional parameters can be added, such as a structure factor that is different than unity, and interparticle correlation parameters.



#### $I(q)=B_{bkg}+\sum$ N  $i=1$  $G_i e^{-\frac{q^2 R_{g,i}^2}{3}} + e^{-\frac{q^2 R_{g,i-1}^2}{3}} B_i$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\vert$  $\left(\text{erf }\left\{\frac{qR_{g,j}}{\sqrt{6}}\right.\right.$  $\left\langle \right\rangle ^{3}$ q 1  $\vert$ Pi

 $R_{g,i-1}$  is the high-q power law cutoff for each level and is taken to be the radius of gyration of the previous level to avoid double counting.

Additional parameters can be added, such as a structure factor that is different than unity, and interparticle correlation parameters.

It is important not to include more levels than are significant physically

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) **[PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0)** October 02, 2024 9/24



Many interesting problems fall outside the dilute limit.



Many interesting problems fall outside the dilute limit.

In these cases, the SAXS modeling must include not only the particle form factor but an additional structure factor,  $S(Q)$ 



Many interesting problems fall outside the dilute limit.

In these cases, the SAXS modeling must include not only the particle form factor but an additional structure factor,  $S(Q)$ 

$$
I^{SAXS}(Q) = \Delta \rho^2 V_p^2 |\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})|^2 S(Q)
$$



Many interesting problems fall outside the dilute limit.

In these cases, the SAXS modeling must include not only the particle form factor but an additional structure factor,  $S(Q)$ 

$$
I^{SAXS}(Q) = \Delta \rho^2 V_p^2 |\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})|^2 S(Q)
$$

The book has an example of this and we will look at a couple of others from recent journal articles



Many interesting problems fall outside the dilute limit.

In these cases, the SAXS modeling must include not only the particle form factor but an additional structure factor,  $S(Q)$ 

$$
I^{SAXS}(Q) = \Delta \rho^2 V_p^2 |\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})|^2 S(Q)
$$

The book has an example of this and we will look at a couple of others from recent journal articles

• SAXS of irradiated Zn nanoparticles



Many interesting problems fall outside the dilute limit.

In these cases, the SAXS modeling must include not only the particle form factor but an additional structure factor,  $S(Q)$ 

$$
I^{SAXS}(Q) = \Delta \rho^2 V_p^2 |\mathcal{F}(\vec{Q})|^2 S(Q)
$$

The book has an example of this and we will look at a couple of others from recent journal articles

- SAXS of irradiated Zn nanoparticles
- Nucleation and growth of & glycine crystals

Zn nanoparticles formed in SiO<sub>2</sub> by ion implantation irradiated with high energy  $Xe^{+14}$  ions.

Zn nanoparticles formed in SiO<sub>2</sub> by ion implantation irradiated with high energy  $Xe^{+14}$  ions.

SAXS measured with 18 keV x-rays parallel and perpendicular to the direction of irradiation.

Zn nanoparticles formed in SiO<sub>2</sub> by ion implantation irradiated with high energy  $Xe^{+14}$  ions.

SAXS measured with 18 keV x-rays parallel and perpendicular to the direction of irradiation.



Expt. geometry

Zn nanoparticles formed in SiO<sub>2</sub> by ion implantation irradiated with high energy  $Xe^{+14}$  ions.

SAXS measured with 18 keV x-rays parallel and perpendicular to the direction of irradiation.



"Shape elongation of embedded Zn nanoparticles induced by swift heavy ion irradiation: A SAXS study", H. Amekura, K. Kono, N. Okubo, and N. Ishikawa, Phys. Status Solidi B 252, 165-169 (2015).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 11 / 24

Zn nanoparticles formed in SiO<sub>2</sub> by ion implantation irradiated with high energy  $Xe^{+14}$  ions. SAXS measured with 18 keV x-rays parallel and perpendicular to the direction of irradiation.

 $(a)$ (g)  $5 \times 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> (h)  $5 \times 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>  $X-ray$ (//) X-ray $(\perp)_{c}$  $+45°$ -45  $\Omega$ **NP**  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ ions  $\perp$  X-ray ions // X-ray Expt. geometry Irradiated ⊥ x-rays Irradiated ∥ x-rays



Zn nanoparticles formed in SiO<sub>2</sub> by ion implantation irradiated with high energy  $Xe^{+14}$  ions.

SAXS measured with 18 keV x-rays parallel and perpendicular to the direction of irradiation.



Straight lines from ion tracks, seen in both directions and which persist to the highest fluences.



#### incidence







Interparticle distance increases as a function of irradiation fluence
#### SAXS of irradiated Zn nanoparticles





"Shape elongation of embedded Zn nanoparticles induced by swift heavy ion irradiation: A SAXS study", H. Amekura, K. Kono, N. Okubo, and N. Ishikawa, Phys. Status Solidi B 252, 165-169 (2015).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 13 / 24

#### SAXS of irradiated Zn nanoparticles



Growth of interparticle spacing is due to dissolution and re-agglomeration with fluence leading to larger interparticle spacings



"Shape elongation of embedded Zn nanoparticles induced by swift heavy ion irradiation: A SAXS study", H. Amekura, K. Kono, N. Okubo, and N. Ishikawa, Phys. Status Solidi B 252, 165-169 (2015).

## Nucleation & growth of glycine



Can SAXS help us understand the nucleation and growth of a simple molecule which is the prototype for pharmaceutical compounds?

"SAXS study of the nucleation of glycine crystals from a supersaturated solution," S. Chattopadhyay et al. Crystal Growth Design 5, 523-527 (2004)

## Nucleation & growth of glycine

Can SAXS help us understand the nucleation and growth of a simple molecule which is the prototype for pharmaceutical compounds?



"SAXS study of the nucleation of glycine crystals from a supersaturated solution," S. Chattopadhyay et al. Crystal Growth Design 5, 523-527 (2004)

## Nucleation & growth of glycine

Can SAXS help us understand the nucleation and growth of a simple molecule which is the prototype for pharmaceutical compounds?





Initial studies at 12keV observe change in  $R_g$  upon crystallization.

"SAXS study of the nucleation of glycine crystals from a supersaturated solution," S. Chattopadhyay et al. Crystal Growth Design 5, 523-527 (2004)

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 14 / 24

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 14 / 24

# Nucleation & growth of glycine

Can SAXS help us understand the nucleation and growth of a simple molecule which is the prototype for pharmaceutical compounds?

change in  $R_{\sigma}$  upon crystallization.

Results confirm a two-step model of cystallization and dimerization in aqueous solution.

"SAXS study of the nucleation of glycine crystals from a supersaturated solution," S. Chattopadhyay et al. Crystal Growth Design 5, 523-527 (2004)







Initial studies at 12keV observe change in  $R_g$  upon crystallization.

Results confirm a two-step model of cystallization and dimerization in aqueous solution.

"SAXS study of the nucleation of glycine crystals from a supersaturated solution," S. Chattopadhyay et al. Crystal Growth Design 5, 523-527 (2004)

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 14 / 24







Initial studies at 12keV observe change in  $R_g$  upon crystallization.

Results confirm a two-step model of cystallization and dimerization in aqueous solution.

"SAXS study of the nucleation of glycine crystals from a supersaturated solution," S. Chattopadhyay et al. Crystal Growth Design 5, 523-527 (2004)

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 14 / 24

Can SAXS help us understand the nucleation and growth of a simple molecule which is the prototype for pharmaceutical compounds?







Results confirm a two-step model of cystallization and dimerization in aqueous solution.

"SAXS study of the nucleation of glycine crystals from a supersaturated solution," S. Chattopadhyay et al. Crystal Growth Design 5, 523-527 (2004)

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 14 / 24

## Nucleation & growth of glycine

Can SAXS help us understand the nucleation and growth of a simple molecule which is the prototype for pharmaceutical compounds?







Long nucleation times due to heating from absorbed 12 keV x-rays.



Long nucleation times due to heating from absorbed 12 keV x-rays.

Change to 25 keV x-rays reduces crystallization time to under 90 min



Long nucleation times due to heating from absorbed 12 keV x-rays.

Change to 25 keV x-rays reduces crystallization time to under 90 min

Different polymorphs are produced under varying conditions so study extended to both neutral and acidic solutions



Long nucleation times due to heating from absorbed 12 keV x-rays.

Change to 25 keV x-rays reduces crystallization time to under 90 min

Different polymorphs are produced under varying conditions so study extended to both neutral and acidic solutions







Long nucleation times due to heating from absorbed 12 keV x-rays.

Change to 25 keV x-rays reduces crystallization time to under 90 min

Different polymorphs are produced under varying conditions so study extended to both neutral and acidic solutions





Glycine  $R_g$ 



In aqueous solution,  $R_g$  implies dimerization and increases due to aggregation until crystallization

"Relationship between self-sssociation of glycine molecules in supersaturated solution and solid state outcome", D. Erdemir et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 115702 (2007)

Glycine  $R_{g}$ 



In aqueous solution,  $R_g$  implies dimerization and increases due to aggregation until crystallization

In acidic solution, Rg remains small and implies that no dimerization or aggregation occurs before nucleation

"Relationship between self-sssociation of glycine molecules in supersaturated solution and solid state outcome", D. Erdemir et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 115702 (2007)







SAXS of biological molecules is an excellent way of getting some information about the molecules as they exist in solution.



SAXS of biological molecules is an excellent way of getting some information about the molecules as they exist in solution.

Obtaining information about  $R_g$  and the Porod region, combined with modeling and the known crystallographic structures can give a more complete picture of how these molecules function.



SAXS of biological molecules is an excellent way of getting some information about the molecules as they exist in solution.

Obtaining information about  $R_g$  and the Porod region, combined with modeling and the known crystallographic structures can give a more complete picture of how these molecules function.

A major problem in these systems is aggregation and impurities. Pre-purification of samples is important but if they are left for some time before the SAXS measurement is performed, there can be decomposition.



SAXS of biological molecules is an excellent way of getting some information about the molecules as they exist in solution.

Obtaining information about  $R_g$  and the Porod region, combined with modeling and the known crystallographic structures can give a more complete picture of how these molecules function.

A major problem in these systems is aggregation and impurities. Pre-purification of samples is important but if they are left for some time before the SAXS measurement is performed, there can be decomposition.

Even without any aggregation or decomposition, separation into a monodisperse molecule size is challenging.



SAXS of biological molecules is an excellent way of getting some information about the molecules as they exist in solution.

Obtaining information about  $R_g$  and the Porod region, combined with modeling and the known crystallographic structures can give a more complete picture of how these molecules function.

A major problem in these systems is aggregation and impurities. Pre-purification of samples is important but if they are left for some time before the SAXS measurement is performed, there can be decomposition.

Even without any aggregation or decomposition, separation into a monodisperse molecule size is challenging.

Mathew, Mirza & Menhart, "Liquid-chromatography-coupled SAXS for accurate sizing of aggregating proteins," J. Synchrotron Rad. 11, 314-318 (2004) developed a technique which is now being used routinely in biological SAXS, called Size Exclusion Chromatography SAXS.





"Liquid-chromatography-coupled SAXS for accurate sizing of aggregating proteins," Mathew, Mirza & Menhart, J. Synchrotron Rad. 11, 314-318 (2004).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 18 / 24



2m SAXS camera, 1.03Å (12 keV) xrays were used





2m SAXS camera,  $1.03\text{\AA}$  (12 keV) xrays were used

2s exposure times every 20s, with 0.25 ml/min flow rate



"Liquid-chromatography-coupled SAXS for accurate sizing of aggregating proteins," Mathew, Mirza & Menhart, J. Synchrotron Rad. 11, 314-318 (2004).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 18 / 24



2m SAXS camera,  $1.03\text{\AA}$  (12 keV) xrays were used

2s exposure times every 20s, with 0.25 ml/min flow rate

samples of (1) cytochrome c, (2) plasminogen, (3) mixture of cytochrome c bovine serum albumin, and blue dextran



2m SAXS camera,  $1.03\text{\AA}$  (12 keV) xrays were used

2s exposure times every 20s, with 0.25 ml/min flow rate

samples of (1) cytochrome c, (2) plasminogen, (3) mixture of cytochrome c bovine serum albumin, and blue dextran

for cytochrome c, forward scatter (black squares) measures the total number of electrons in the beam



2m SAXS camera,  $1.03\text{\AA}$  (12 keV) xrays were used

2s exposure times every 20s, with 0.25 ml/min flow rate

samples of (1) cytochrome c, (2) plasminogen, (3) mixture of cytochrome c bovine serum albumin, and blue dextran

for cytochrome c, forward scatter (black squares) measures the total number of electrons in the beam  $R_{\sigma}$ is constant throughout the main peak









#### Plot from times marked with arrows on  $R_g$  plot.



Plot from times marked with arrows on  $R_g$  plot.

Guinier plots are parallel, indicating a single species present (a single critical exponent).



Plot from times marked with arrows on  $R_g$  plot.

Guinier plots are parallel, indicating a single species present (a single critical exponent).

Even lowest intensity data set gives a consistent  $R_{\sigma}$ .

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 19 / 24



Constant  $R_g$  in region where  $A_{UV}/I(0)$  is constant.



"Liquid-chromatography-coupled SAXS for accurate sizing of aggregating proteins," Mathew, Mirza & Menhart, J. Synchrotron Rad. 11, 314-318 (2004).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 20 / 24



Constant  $R_g$  in region where  $A_{UV}/I(0)$  is constant. Aggregates preceed the main peak and show wildly varying  $R_{\sigma}$ .



"Liquid-chromatography-coupled SAXS for accurate sizing of aggregating proteins," Mathew, Mirza & Menhart, J. Synchrotron Rad. 11, 314-318 (2004).

Carlo Segre (Illinois Tech) [PHYS 570 - Fall 2024](#page-0-0) October 02, 2024 20 / 24



Constant  $R_g$  in region where  $A_{UV}/I(0)$  is constant. Aggregates preceed the main peak and show wildly varying  $R_{\sigma}$ .

Guinier plots labeled 34 and 38 show presence of aggregates and the slopes are not parallel, indicating multiple sized species



"Liquid-chromatography-coupled SAXS for accurate sizing of aggregating proteins," Mathew, Mirza & Menhart, J. Synchrotron Rad. 11, 314-318 (2004).



Constant  $R_g$  in region where  $A_{UV}/I(0)$  is constant. Aggregates preceed the main peak and show wildly varying  $R_{\sigma}$ .

Guinier plots labeled 34 and 38 show presence of aggregates and the slopes are not parallel, indicating multiple sized species

The three components show consistent  $R_{\sigma}$  and can be individually identified despite the overlap.



### Porosity in CaO calcination



SAXS was used to study the nature of the porosity and particle sizes of CaO obtained by calcining CaCO<sub>3</sub>.

"Analysis of textural properties of CaO-based CO<sub>2</sub> sorbents by ex-situ USAXS," A. Benedetti, J. Ilavsky, C.U. Segre, and M. Strumendo, Chem. Eng. J. 355, 760-776 (2019).
SAXS was used to study the nature of the porosity and particle sizes of CaO obtained by calcining CaCO<sub>3</sub>.





SAXS was used to study the nature of the porosity and particle sizes of CaO obtained by calcining  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ .

CaO can be used for carbon capture and then recycled by calcination. It is important to understand the meso structure of the material at different stages of the process





SAXS was used to study the nature of the porosity and particle sizes of CaO obtained by calcining  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ .

CaO can be used for carbon capture and then recycled by calcination. It is important to understand the meso structure of the material at different stages of the process

The samples were studied ex-situ at Sector 9-ID using USAXS and analyzed with a unified fit model



"Analysis of textural properties of CaO-based CO<sub>2</sub> sorbents by ex-situ USAXS," A. Benedetti, J. Ilavsky, C.U. Segre, and M. Strumendo, Chem. Eng. J. 355, 760-776 (2019).





Sample D was calcined at 900 ◦C for 50 minutes while sample E was calcined at the same temperature for 240 minutes





Sample D was calcined at 900 ◦C for 50 minutes while sample E was calcined at the same temperature for 240 minutes

The SAXS shows the grain growth evolution between the two samples and it is clear that the samples need a multilevel unified fit





The components of the unified fit model are shown for a two level fit and it is clear that 2 levels are insufficient.





The components of the unified fit model are shown for a two level fit and it is clear that 2 levels are insufficient.

A three level fit works well for the calcined samples and from this one can extract the pore sizes for two different pore populations in the calcined samples



Fitting a series of samples calcined at varying temperatures and times shows the evolution of the radii of gyration of the two populations corresponding to the pore sizes





Fitting a series of samples calcined at varying temperatures and times shows the evolution of the radii of gyration of the two populations corresponding to the pore sizes

The resulting pore size distributions correspond well to those measured using gas adsorption methods

