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## Beamtime at MRCAT

One day has been set aside for our class to be at Sector 10 MRCAT at the Advanced Photon Source

Friday, April 24, 2020 - bending magnet line

Inform me now if you intend to come to the session
You will need to have a badge approved in order to do anything more than just observe!

We will do flux measurements, reflectivity, x-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements, use ion chambers and the multielement detector, and more

I will try to record the session for those of you not in Chicago

## Writing a General User Proposal

1. Log into the APS site
2. Start a general user proposal
3. Add an Abstract
4. Choose a beam line
5. Answer the 6 important questions

A tutorial can be found on the course home page http://csrri.iit.edu/~segre/phys570/20S/gu_proposal.html

## Register \& log into the APS Portal

User Registration for Advanced Photon Source (APS) and Center for Nanoscale Materials (CNM)

## Welcome Users and Visitors

New Users

- Never been assigned an Argonne ID badge number
- Never been to Argonne before
- Plan to conduct hands-on work
- Need remote computer access to an Argonne User Facility

New User

## Returning Users

- Update existing biographical/contact information
- Renew my approval for site access

Note: You must have a user badge $\#$ to access this site. Badge number appears on the back of your badge. see below.


My APS Portal

CNM Returning User

## Visiting Argonne

- Not conducting hands-on work/research
- Short-term visit to Argonne (e.g. a meeting speaker, family member traveling companion, conference/workshop attendee, tour group member)
- Only utilizing the ANL/APS guest computer network
- Not a current facility user

```
VIsitor Pass
``` Security Notice

\section*{APS Portal details}

Advanced Photon Source
Welcome: Carlo U. Segre

Take me to APS Beam Time Request System
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline GUP ID & Spokesperson & Submit Date \\
\hline\(\underline{58125}\) & Yiqing Zharg & \(01 / 31 / 2018\) \\
\hline\(\underline{58111}\) & Kamil Kucuk & \(01 / 29 / 2018\) \\
\hline\(\underline{57789}\) & Carlo Segre & \(11 / 15 / 2017\) \\
\hline\(\underline{57415}\) & Andrew Breshears & \(10 / 27 / 2017\) \\
\hline\(\underline{56390}\) & Elena Timofeeva & \(10 / 04 / 2017\) \\
\hline\(\underline{56128}\) & Yujia Ding & \(08 / 31 / 2017\) \\
\hline\(\underline{55959}\) & Shankar Aryal & \(07 / 29 / 2017\) \\
\(\underline{55146}\) & Christopher Murray & \(07 / 07 / 2017\) \\
\hline\(\underline{54740}\) & Leon Shaw & \(07 / 02 / 2017\) \\
\hline\(\underline{54572}\) & Carlo Segre & \(06 / 07 / 2017\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline Proposal Title & Status & \\
\hline Ex-situ XAS study of Ni,Co,Fe modified po... & SUBMITT... & \\
\hline In-situ XAS study of Li2FeSiO4 sample as... & SUBMITT... & \\
\hline EXAFS of metal oxide materials & SUBMITT... & \\
\hline Study of metal coordination environment o... & ACTIVE & \\
\hline Investigation of x-ray beam energy on radi... & SUBMITT... & \\
\hline In situ EXAFS study of SnS2-based graph... & SUBMITT... & \\
\hline Ex situ XAS measurement of NMC catnod... & SUBMITT... \\
\hline Operando Characterization of Bimetallic N... & ACTIVE \\
\hline Analysis of Novel Electrode Materiale for ... & ACTIVE & \\
\hline Illinois Tech ex-situ battery EXAFS & SUBMITT... & \\
\hline In situ XAS study of Li rich composite oxid... & NEW \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The Advanced Photon Source is an Office of Science User Facility operated for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science by Aroonne National L aboratory
UChicago Argonne LLCI Privacy \& Security Notice I Contact Us I APS Site Map

\section*{Start a General User Proposal}

\section*{Argonne \\ \(-\) \\ Type of Beam Time Request - Main Menu \\ Logout}

Welcome to the APS Beam Time Access System.
Please select an action:


\section*{Add title \& answer details}


\section*{GUP-1}

General User Program: gu_program@aps.anl.gov, \(630-252.9090\)
Technical assistance: mis mgisglaps anl.gov
\({ }^{*}\) Proposal
Title:


\section*{More details}

\section*{(500 characters or less)}
\(\square\)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
*Subject of & \(\square\) Materials science & \(\square\) Physics & \(\square\) chemistry \\
Research: & \(\square\) polymers & \(\square\) Medical applications & \(\square\) Biological and life sciences \\
& \(\square\) Earth sciences & \(\square\) Environmental sciences & \(\square\) optics (excluding x-ray optics) \\
& \(\square\) Engineering & \(\square\) Instrumentation related to user facilities & \(\square\) Purchase of specialty service or materials \\
& \(\square\) other (specify) & Specify other: \(: \square\)
\end{tabular}


ANL

\section*{Select experimenters}


\section*{Insert abstract}


\section*{Make Beam Time Request}


\section*{Beam Time Request continued}
So you have specific scheduling requirements ?

\section*{Answer the 6 important questions}


\section*{Please Note:}

The proposal system runs on the WEIS08859P1 (Western European, Latin) character set. Characters you enter into the system that are Unicode or otherwise not a subset of WEISO8859P1 will not render properly in the report of your proposal.
Typically these are greek, super/subscript characters, etc. Click here to see a list of valid characters and Proposal Content and Style Guidelines

Please specify the funding source(s) for your proposed research:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(\square\) DOD (specify) & \(\square\) DOE, Office of Basic Energy Sciences & \(\square\) DOE, Ofice of Biological and Environmental Research \\
\(\square\) DOE, Other (specify) & \(\square\) Foreign (specify) & \(\square\) HHIH \\
\(\square\) Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI) & \(\square\) Industry & \(\square\) NASA \\
\(\square\) NIH & \(\square\) NSF & \(\square\) Other U.S. Government \\
\(\square\) USDA & \(\square\) Other (specify) & Specify Other: \(\square\)
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
What is the scientific or technical purpose and importance of the proposed research? (limit : 500 words)
}
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\section*{Answer the 6 important questions}

What is the scientific or technical purpose and importance of the proposed research? (limit: 500 words)
Why do you need the APS for this research? (limit: 100 words)
Why do you need the beamline you have chosen? (limit: 100 words)
Describe the participants' previous experience with synchrotron radiation and the experimental results obtained. (If you refer to previous publications, be sure to include complete citations.) (limit: 100 words)

Describe samples and explain the proposed experiment, including procedures. Explain the basis for your estimated beam time needs. (limit: 500 words)
Provide an overall estimate of the amount of beam time you will need to accomplish the goals of your proposed experimental program. How many visits during the two-year proposal period do you expect to need? How many shifts will you need during each visit (approximately)? (limit: 500 words)

\section*{Select the review panel}
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\section*{Refractive optics}

Just as with visible, light, it is possible to make refractive optics for \(x\)-rays visible light:
\[
\begin{aligned}
n & \sim 1.2-1.5 \\
f & \sim 0.1 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
\]
\(x\)-rays:
\[
\begin{gathered}
n \approx 1-\delta, \delta \sim 10^{-5} \\
\quad f \sim 100 \mathrm{~m}!
\end{gathered}
\]

x-ray lenses are complementary to those for visible light getting manageable focal distances requires making compound lenses
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Start with a 3 -element compound lens, calculate effective focal length assuming each lens has the same focal length, \(f\)
\[
f_{1}=f, o_{1}=\infty
\]
for the second lens, the image \(i_{1}\) is a virtual object, \(o_{2}=-i_{1}\)
similarly for the third lens, \(o_{3}=-i_{2}\)

\section*{Focal length of a compound lens}
\[
\begin{gathered}
\longrightarrow \\
\frac{1}{i}+\frac{1}{o}=\frac{1}{f} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i}=\frac{1}{f}-\frac{1}{o} \\
\frac{1}{i_{1}}=\frac{1}{f_{1}}-\frac{1}{o_{1}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i_{1}}=\frac{1}{f} \rightarrow i_{1}=f \\
\frac{1}{i_{2}}=\frac{1}{f_{2}}-\frac{1}{o_{2}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i_{2}}=\frac{1}{f}+\frac{1}{f} \rightarrow i_{2}=\frac{f}{2} \\
\frac{1}{i_{2}}=\frac{1}{f_{2}}-\frac{1}{o_{2}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i_{2}}=\frac{1}{f}+\frac{2}{f} \rightarrow i_{2}=\frac{f}{3}
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\]

Start with a 3-element compound lens, calculate effective focal length assuming each lens has the same focal length, \(f\)
\[
f_{1}=f, o_{1}=\infty
\]
for the second lens, the image \(i_{1}\) is a virtual object, \(o_{2}=-i_{1}\)
similarly for the third lens, \(o_{3}=-i_{2}\)

\section*{Focal length of a compound lens}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Start with a 3-element } \\
& \text { compound lens, calculate } \\
& \text { effective focal length } \\
& \text { assuming each lens has } \\
& \text { the same focal length, } f \\
& f_{1}=f, o_{1}=\infty \\
& \text { for the second lens, the } \\
& \text { image } i_{1} \text { is a virtual } \\
& \text { object, } o_{2}=-i_{1} \\
& \text { similarly for the third lens, } \\
& o_{3}=-i_{2}
\end{aligned}
\]
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\section*{Rephasing distance}

A spherical surface is not the ideal lens as it introduces aberrations. Derive the ideal shape for perfect focusing of \(x\)-rays.

consider two waves, one traveling inside the solid and the other in vacuum, \(\lambda=\lambda_{0} /(1-\delta) \approx \lambda_{0}(1+\delta)\)
if the two waves start in phase, they will be in phase once again after a distance
\[
\Lambda=(N+1) \lambda_{0}=N \lambda_{0}(1+\delta)
\]
\[
N \lambda_{0}+\lambda_{0}=N \lambda_{0}+N \delta \lambda_{0} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \lambda_{0}=N \delta \lambda_{0} \quad \longrightarrow \quad N=\frac{1}{\delta}
\]
\[
\Lambda=N \lambda_{0}=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{\delta}
\]

\section*{Rephasing distance}

A spherical surface is not the ideal lens as it introduces aberrations. Derive the ideal shape for perfect focusing of \(x\)-rays.
consider two waves, one traveling inside the solid and the other in vacuum, \(\lambda=\lambda_{0} /(1-\delta) \approx \lambda_{0}(1+\delta)\)
if the two waves start in phase, they will be in phase once again after a distance
\[
\Lambda=(N+1) \lambda_{0}=N \lambda_{0}(1+\delta)
\]
\[
\begin{gathered}
N \lambda_{0}+\lambda_{0}=N \lambda_{0}+N \delta \lambda_{0} \longrightarrow \lambda_{0}=N \delta \lambda_{0} \longrightarrow N=\frac{1}{\delta} \\
\Lambda=N \lambda_{0}=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{\delta}=\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda_{0} r_{0} \rho}
\end{gathered}
\]

\section*{Rephasing distance}

A spherical surface is not the ideal lens as it introduces aberrations. Derive the ideal shape for perfect focusing of \(x\)-rays.
consider two waves, one traveling inside the solid and the other in vacuum, \(\lambda=\lambda_{0} /(1-\delta) \approx \lambda_{0}(1+\delta)\)
if the two waves start in phase, they will be in phase once again after a distance
\[
\Lambda=(N+1) \lambda_{0}=N \lambda_{0}(1+\delta)
\]
\[
\begin{gathered}
N \lambda_{0}+\lambda_{0}=N \lambda_{0}+N \delta \lambda_{0} \longrightarrow \lambda_{0}=N \delta \lambda_{0} \longrightarrow N=\frac{1}{\delta} \\
\Lambda=N \lambda_{0}=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{\delta}=\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda_{0} r_{0} \rho} \approx 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
\]

\section*{Ideal interface profile - "thin" lens}
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The wave exits the material into vacuum through a surface of profile \(h(x)\), and is twisted by an angle \(\alpha\). Follow the path of two points on the wavefront, \(A\) and \(A^{\prime}\) as they propagate to \(B\) and \(B^{\prime}\).
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\section*{Ideal interface profile - "thin" lens}


The wave exits the material into vacuum through a surface of profile \(h(x)\), and is twisted by an angle \(\alpha\). Follow the path of two points on the wavefront, \(A\) and \(A^{\prime}\) as they propagate to \(B\) and \(B^{\prime}\).
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\section*{Ideal interface profile - "thin" lens}

If the desired focal length of this lens is \(f\), the wave must be redirected at an angle which depends on the distance from the optical axis
\[
\alpha(x)=\frac{x}{f}
\]
combining, we have
\[
\frac{\lambda_{0} h^{\prime}(x)}{\Lambda}=\frac{x}{f} \quad \longrightarrow \frac{h^{\prime}(x)}{\Lambda}=\frac{x}{f \lambda_{0}}
\]
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\section*{Ideal interface profile - "thin" lens}

If the desired focal length of this lens is \(f\), the wave must be redirected at an angle which depends on the distance from the optical axis
\[
\alpha(x)=\frac{x}{f}
\]
combining, we have
\[
\frac{\lambda_{0} h^{\prime}(x)}{\Lambda}=\frac{x}{f} \longrightarrow \frac{h^{\prime}(x)}{\Lambda}=\frac{x}{f \lambda_{0}}
\]
this can be directly integrated
\[
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a parabola is the ideal surface shape for focusing by refraction for a "thin" lens with limited aperture
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\section*{Focal length of circular lens}

From the previous expression for the ideal parabolic surface, the focal length can be written in terms of the surface profile.
\[
f=\frac{x^{2} \Lambda}{2 \lambda_{0} h(x)}=\frac{1}{2 \delta} \frac{x^{2}}{h(x)} \quad \text { or alternatively } \quad f=\frac{1}{\delta} \frac{x}{h^{\prime}(x)}
\]
if the surface is a circle instead of a parabola
\[
\begin{aligned}
h(x) & =R-\sqrt{R^{2}-x^{2}} \\
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\[
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and thus the focal length becomes
for \(2 N\) circular lenses we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
f & \approx \frac{R}{\delta} \\
f_{2 N} & \approx \frac{R}{2 N \delta}
\end{aligned}
\]
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\section*{Focussing by a beryllium lens}


For 50 holes of radius \(R=1 \mathrm{~mm}\) in beryllium (Be) at \(E=10 \mathrm{keV}\), we can calculate the focal length, knowing \(\delta=3.41 \times 10^{-6}\)
\[
f_{N}=\frac{R}{2 N \delta}=\frac{1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}}{2(50)\left(3.41 \times 10^{-6}\right)}=2.93 \mathrm{~m}
\]
depending on the wall thickness of the lenslets, the transmission can be up to \(74 \%\)
H.R. Beguiristain et al., "X-ray focusing with compound lenses made from beryllium," Optics Lett., 27, 778 (2007).

\section*{Alligator-type lenses}

Perhaps one of the most original x-ray lenses has been made by using old vinyl records in an "alligator" configuration.


Björn Cederström et al., "Focusing hard X-rays with old LPs", Nature 404, 951 (2000).
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Björn Cederström et al., "Focusing hard X-rays with old LPs", Nature 404, 951 (2000).


This design has also been used to make lenses out of lithium metal.
E.M. Dufresne et al., "Lithium metal for x-ray refractive optics", Appl. Phys. Lett. 79, 4085 (2001).
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\section*{Extruded AI lens}

The compound refractive lenses (CRL) are useful for fixed focus but are difficult to use if a variable focal distance and a long focal length is required.


Extruded aluminum lens with parabolic figure

Cut diagonally to expose variable number of "lenses" to a horizontal beam

Horizontal translation allows change in focal length but it is quantized, not continuous
A. Khounsary et al., "Fabrication, testing, and performance of a variable focus \(x\)-ray compound lens", Proc. SPIE 4783, 49-54 (2002).

\section*{Variable focal length CRL}

A continuously variable focal length is very important for two specific reasons: tracking sample position, and keeping the focal length constant as energy is changed.
B. Adams and C. Rose-Petruck, "X-ray focusing scheme with continuously variable lens," J. Synchrotron Radiation 22, 16-22 (2015).
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\section*{Variable focal length CRL}

A continuously variable focal length is very important for two specific reasons: tracking sample position, and keeping the focal length constant as energy is changed. This can be achieved with a rotating lens system

Start with a 2 hole CRL. Rotate by an angle \(\chi\) about vertical axis giving an effective change in the number of "lenses" by a factor \(1 / \cos \chi\).

at \(E=5.5 \mathrm{keV}\) and \(\chi=0^{\circ}\), height is over \(120 \mu \mathrm{~m}\)
B. Adams and C. Rose-Petruck, "X-ray focusing scheme with continuously variable lens," J. Synchrotron Radiation 22, 16-22 (2015).

\section*{Variable focal length CRL}

A continuously variable focal length is very important for two specific reasons: tracking sample position, and keeping the focal length constant as energy is changed. This can be achieved with a rotating lens system

Start with a 2 hole CRL. Rotate by an angle \(\chi\) about vertical axis giving an effective change in the number of "lenses" by a factor \(1 / \cos \chi\).
at \(E=5.5 \mathrm{keV}\) and \(\chi=0^{\circ}\), height is over \(120 \mu \mathrm{~m}\)

At \(\chi=30^{\circ}\), it is under \(50 \mu \mathrm{~m}\)

B. Adams and C. Rose-Petruck, "X-ray focusing scheme with continuously variable lens," J. Synchrotron Radiation 22, 16-22 (2015).

\section*{Variable focal length CRL}

A continuously variable focal length is very important for two specific reasons: tracking sample position, and keeping the focal length constant as energy is changed. This can be achieved with a rotating lens system

Start with a 2 hole CRL. Rotate by an angle \(\chi\) about vertical axis giving an effective change in the number of "lenses" by a factor \(1 / \cos \chi\).


Optimal focus is \(20 \mu \mathrm{~m}\) at \(\chi=40^{\circ}\)```

