• Scattering from two electrons

- Scattering from two electrons
- Scattering from atoms

- Scattering from two electrons
- Scattering from atoms
- Scattering from molecules

- Scattering from two electrons
- Scattering from atoms
- Scattering from molecules
- Radial distribution function

- Scattering from two electrons
- Scattering from atoms
- Scattering from molecules
- Radial distribution function
- Liquid scattering

- Scattering from two electrons
- Scattering from atoms
- Scattering from molecules
- Radial distribution function
- Liquid scattering

APS Visits: 10-ID: Friday, October 21, 2016 10-BM: Friday, October 28, 2016

Homework Assignment #03: Chapter 3: 1, 3, 4, 6, 8 due Wednesday, September 05, 2016







$$ec{Q} = (ec{k} - ec{k'})$$



$$ec{Q} = (ec{k} - ec{k'})$$

 $ec{Q} ec{Q} = 2k\sin heta = rac{4\pi}{\lambda}\sin heta$

Consider systems where there is only weak scattering, with no multiple scattering effects. We begin with the scattering of x-rays from two electrons.



$$ec{Q} = (ec{k} - ec{k'})$$

 $ec{Q} ec{Q} = 2k\sin heta = rac{4\pi}{\lambda}\sin heta$

Consider systems where there is only weak scattering, with no multiple scattering effects. We begin with the scattering of x-rays from two electrons.



$$ec{Q} = (ec{k} - ec{k'})$$

 $ec{Q} ec{Q} = 2k\sin heta = rac{4\pi}{\lambda}\sin heta$

$$A(\vec{Q}) = -r_0 \left(1 + e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}}\right)$$

Consider systems where there is only weak scattering, with no multiple scattering effects. We begin with the scattering of x-rays from two electrons.



$$ec{Q} = (ec{k} - ec{k'})$$

 $ec{Q} ec{Q} = 2k\sin heta = rac{4\pi}{\lambda}\sin heta$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(ec{Q}) &= -r_0 \left(1 + e^{i ec{Q} \cdot ec{r}}
ight) \ \mathcal{I}(ec{Q}) &= \mathcal{A}(ec{Q})^* \mathcal{A}(ec{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

Consider systems where there is only weak scattering, with no multiple scattering effects. We begin with the scattering of x-rays from two electrons.



$$ec{Q} = (ec{k} - ec{k'})$$

 $ec{Q} ec{Q} = 2k\sin heta = rac{4\pi}{\lambda}\sin heta$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(\vec{Q}) &= -r_0 \left(1 + e^{i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}} \right) \\ \mathcal{I}(\vec{Q}) &= \mathcal{A}(\vec{Q})^* \mathcal{A}(\vec{Q}) \\ &= r_0^2 \left(1 + e^{i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}} \right) \left(1 + e^{-i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}} \right) \end{split}$$

Consider systems where there is only weak scattering, with no multiple scattering effects. We begin with the scattering of x-rays from two electrons.



$$ec{Q} = (ec{k} - ec{k'})$$

 $ec{Q} ec{Q} = 2k\sin heta = rac{4\pi}{\lambda}\sin heta$

The scattering from the second electron will have a phase shift of $\phi = \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}$.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(\vec{Q}) &= -r_0 \left(1 + e^{i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}} \right) \\ \mathcal{I}(\vec{Q}) &= \mathcal{A}(\vec{Q})^* \mathcal{A}(\vec{Q}) \\ &= r_0^2 \left(1 + e^{i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}} \right) \left(1 + e^{-i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}} \right) \end{split}$$

 $I(\vec{Q}) = r_0^2 \left(1 + e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + 1 \right)$

Consider systems where there is only weak scattering, with no multiple scattering effects. We begin with the scattering of x-rays from two electrons.



$$ec{Q} = (ec{k} - ec{k'})$$

 $ec{Q} ec{Q} = 2k\sin heta = rac{4\pi}{\lambda}\sin heta$

The scattering from the second electron will have a phase shift of $\phi = \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}$.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(\vec{Q}) &= -r_0 \left(1 + e^{i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}} \right) \\ \mathcal{I}(\vec{Q}) &= \mathcal{A}(\vec{Q})^* \mathcal{A}(\vec{Q}) \\ &= r_0^2 \left(1 + e^{i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}} \right) \left(1 + e^{-i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}} \right) \end{split}$$

 $I(\vec{Q}) = r_0^2 \left(1 + e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + 1 \right) = 2r_0^2 \left(1 + \cos(\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}) \right)$

for many electrons

for many electrons

$$A(\vec{Q}) = -r_0 \sum_j e^{i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r_j}}$$

for many electrons

$$A(ec{Q}) = -r_0 \sum_j e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r_j}}$$

generalizing to a crystal

for many electrons

generalizing to a crystal

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(ec{Q}) &= -r_0 \sum_j e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r_j}} \ \mathcal{A}(ec{Q}) &= -r_0 \sum_N e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{R_N}} \sum_j e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r_j}} \end{aligned}$$

for many electrons

$$A(\vec{Q}) = -r_0 \sum_j e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r_j}}$$
generalizing to a crystal

$$A(\vec{Q}) = -r_0 \sum_N e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{R_N}} \sum_j e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r_j}}$$

Since experiments measure $I \propto A^2$, the phase information is lost. This is a problem if we don't know the specific orientation of the scattering system relative to the x-ray beam.

for many electrons

$$A(\vec{Q}) = -r_0 \sum_j e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r_j}}$$
generalizing to a crystal

$$A(\vec{Q}) = -r_0 \sum_N e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{R_N}} \sum_j e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r_j}}$$

Since experiments measure $I \propto A^2$, the phase information is lost. This is a problem if we don't know the specific orientation of the scattering system relative to the x-ray beam.

We will now look at the consequences of this orientation and generalize to more than two electrons

Two electrons — fixed orientation

The expression

$$I(\vec{Q}) = 2r_0^2 \left(1 + \cos(\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r})\right)$$

assumes that the two electrons have a specific, fixed orientation. In this case the intensity as a function of Q is.

Two electrons — fixed orientation

The expression

$$I(\vec{Q}) = 2r_0^2 \left(1 + \cos(\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r})\right)$$

assumes that the two electrons have a specific, fixed orientation. In this case the intensity as a function of Q is.



Two electrons — fixed orientation

The expression

$$I(\vec{Q}) = 2r_0^2 \left(1 + \cos(\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r})\right)$$

assumes that the two electrons have a specific, fixed orientation. In this case the intensity as a function of Q is.

Fixed orientation is not the usual case, particularly for solution and small-angle scattering.



Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

$$A(\vec{Q}) = f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}}$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

$$A(\vec{Q}) = f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}}$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

$$\begin{aligned} A(\vec{Q}) &= f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ I(\vec{Q}) &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_1 f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + f_1 f_2 e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \end{aligned}$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

$$\begin{aligned} A(\vec{Q}) &= f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ I(\vec{Q}) &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_1 f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + f_1 f_2 e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \end{aligned}$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

if the distance between the scatterers, \vec{r} , remains constant (no vibrations) but is allowed to orient randomly in space

$$\begin{aligned} A(\vec{Q}) &= f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ I(\vec{Q}) &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_1 f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + f_1 f_2 e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ \left\langle I(\vec{Q}) \right\rangle &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1 f_2 \left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

if the distance between the scatterers, \vec{r} , remains constant (no vibrations) but is allowed to orient randomly in space

$$\begin{aligned} A(\vec{Q}) &= f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ I(\vec{Q}) &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_1 f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + f_1 f_2 e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ \left\langle I(\vec{Q}) \right\rangle &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1 f_2 \left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

if the distance between the scatterers, \vec{r} , remains constant (no vibrations) but is allowed to orient randomly in space and we take \vec{Q} along the *z*-axis

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\vec{Q}) &= f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ \mathcal{I}(\vec{Q}) &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_1 f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + f_1 f_2 e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ \left\langle \mathcal{I}(\vec{Q}) \right\rangle &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1 f_2 \left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}}\right\rangle = rac{\int e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}{\int\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

if the distance between the scatterers, \vec{r} , remains constant (no vibrations) but is allowed to orient randomly in space and we take \vec{Q} along the *z*-axis

$$\begin{aligned} A(\vec{Q}) &= f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ I(\vec{Q}) &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_1 f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + f_1 f_2 e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ \left\langle I(\vec{Q}) \right\rangle &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1 f_2 \left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle = \frac{\int e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}{\int \sin\theta d\theta d\phi} \\ = \frac{1}{4\pi} 2\pi \int_0^\pi e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

if the distance between the scatterers, \vec{r} , remains constant (no vibrations) but is allowed to orient randomly in space and we take \vec{Q} along the *z*-axis
$$\begin{aligned} A(\vec{Q}) &= f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ I(\vec{Q}) &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_1 f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + f_1 f_2 e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ \left\langle I(\vec{Q}) \right\rangle &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1 f_2 \left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle = \frac{\int e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}{\int \sin\theta d\theta d\phi}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} 2\pi \int_0^\pi e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

if the distance between the scatterers, \vec{r} , remains constant (no vibrations) but is allowed to orient randomly in space and we take \vec{Q} along the *z*-axis

substituting $x = iQr \cos \theta$ and $dx = -iQr \sin \theta d\theta$

$$\begin{aligned} A(\vec{Q}) &= f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ I(\vec{Q}) &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_1 f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + f_1 f_2 e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ \left\langle I(\vec{Q}) \right\rangle &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1 f_2 \left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle = \frac{\int e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}{\int\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}$$

= $\frac{1}{4\pi} 2\pi \int_0^{\pi} e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta$
= $\frac{2\pi}{4\pi} \left(-\frac{1}{iQr}\right) \int_{iQr}^{-iQr} e^{x} dx$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

if the distance between the scatterers, \vec{r} , remains constant (no vibrations) but is allowed to orient randomly in space and we take \vec{Q} along the *z*-axis

substituting $x = iQr \cos \theta$ and $dx = -iQr \sin \theta d\theta$

$$\begin{aligned} A(\vec{Q}) &= f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ I(\vec{Q}) &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_1 f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + f_1 f_2 e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ \left\langle I(\vec{Q}) \right\rangle &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1 f_2 \left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}}\right\rangle = \frac{\int e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}{\int\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi}2\pi \int_0^\pi e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta$$
$$= \frac{2\pi}{4\pi} \left(-\frac{1}{iQr}\right) \int_{iQr}^{-iQr} e^x dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}2\frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr}$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

if the distance between the scatterers, \vec{r} , remains constant (no vibrations) but is allowed to orient randomly in space and we take \vec{Q} along the *z*-axis

substituting $x = iQr \cos \theta$ and $dx = -iQr \sin \theta d\theta$

$$\begin{aligned} A(\vec{Q}) &= f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ I(\vec{Q}) &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_1 f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + f_1 f_2 e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ \left\langle I(\vec{Q}) \right\rangle &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1 f_2 \left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}}\right\rangle = \frac{\int e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}{\int\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi}2\pi \int_0^\pi e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta$$
$$= \frac{2\pi}{4\pi} \left(-\frac{1}{iQr}\right) \int_{iQr}^{-iQr} e^{\times}dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}2\frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr} = \frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr}$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

if the distance between the scatterers, \vec{r} , remains constant (no vibrations) but is allowed to orient randomly in space and we take \vec{Q} along the *z*-axis

substituting $x = iQr \cos \theta$ and $dx = -iQr \sin \theta d\theta$

$$\begin{aligned} A(\vec{Q}) &= f_1 + f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ I(\vec{Q}) &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_1 f_2 e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} + f_1 f_2 e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \\ \left\langle I(\vec{Q}) \right\rangle &= f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1 f_2 \left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\langle e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}}\right\rangle = \frac{\int e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}{\int\sin\theta d\theta d\phi}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi}2\pi \int_0^\pi e^{iQr\cos\theta}\sin\theta d\theta$$
$$= \frac{2\pi}{4\pi} \left(-\frac{1}{iQr}\right) \int_{iQr}^{-iQr} e^{\times}dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}2\frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr} = \frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr}$$

Consider scattering from two arbitrary electron distributions, f_1 and f_2 . $A(\vec{Q})$, is given by

and the intensity, $I(\vec{Q})$, is

if the distance between the scatterers, \vec{r} , remains constant (no vibrations) but is allowed to orient randomly in space and we take \vec{Q} along the *z*-axis

substituting $x = iQr \cos \theta$ and $dx = -iQr \sin \theta d\theta$

$$\left\langle I(\vec{Q})\right\rangle = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1f_2\frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr}$$

$$\left\langle I(\vec{Q})\right\rangle = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1f_2\frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr}$$

$$\left\langle I(\vec{Q})\right\rangle = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1f_2\frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr}$$

Recall that when we had a fixed orientation of the two electrons, we had and intensity variation $I(\vec{Q}) = 2r_0^2 (1 + \cos(Qr)).$

$$\left\langle I(\vec{Q})\right\rangle = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1f_2\frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr}$$

Recall that when we had a fixed orientation of the two electrons, we had and intensity variation $I(\vec{Q}) = 2r_0^2 (1 + \cos(Qr)).$



$$\left\langle I(\vec{Q})\right\rangle = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1f_2\frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr}$$

Recall that when we had a fixed orientation of the two electrons, we had and intensity variation $I(\vec{Q}) = 2r_0^2 (1 + \cos(Qr)).$

When we now replace the two arbitrary scattering distributions with electrons $(f_1, f_2 \rightarrow -r_0)$, we change the intensity profile significantly.



$$\left\langle I(\vec{Q})\right\rangle = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1f_2\frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr}$$

Recall that when we had a fixed orientation of the two electrons, we had and intensity variation $I(\vec{Q}) = 2r_0^2 (1 + \cos(Qr)).$

When we now replace the two arbitrary scattering distributions with electrons $(f_1, f_2 \rightarrow -r_0)$, we change the intensity profile significantly.

$$\left\langle I(\vec{Q})\right\rangle = 2r_0^2 \left(1 + \frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr}\right)$$



$$\left\langle I(\vec{Q})\right\rangle = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + 2f_1f_2\frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr}$$

Recall that when we had a fixed orientation of the two electrons, we had and intensity variation $I(\vec{Q}) = 2r_0^2 (1 + \cos(Qr)).$

When we now replace the two arbitrary scattering distributions with electrons $(f_1, f_2 \rightarrow -r_0)$, we change the intensity profile significantly.

$$\left\langle I(\vec{Q}) \right\rangle = 2r_0^2 \left(1 + \frac{\sin(Qr)}{Qr} \right)$$



Single electrons are a good first example but a real system involves scattering from atoms. We can use what we have already used to write an expression for the scattering from an atom, ignoring the anomalous terms.

Single electrons are a good first example but a real system involves scattering from atoms. We can use what we have already used to write an expression for the scattering from an atom, ignoring the anomalous terms.

$$f^0(ec{Q}) = \int
ho(ec{r}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}} dec{r}$$

Single electrons are a good first example but a real system involves scattering from atoms. We can use what we have already used to write an expression for the scattering from an atom, ignoring the anomalous terms.

with limits in units of r_0 of

$$f^0(ec Q) = \int
ho(ec r) e^{iec Q\cdotec r} dec r$$

Single electrons are a good first example but a real system involves scattering from atoms. We can use what we have already used to write an expression for the scattering from an atom, ignoring the anomalous terms.

with limits in units of r_0 of

$$egin{aligned} f^0(ec{Q}) &= \int
ho(ec{r}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}} dec{r} \ &= egin{cases} Z & ext{for } \mathbf{Q} o \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{0} & ext{for } \mathbf{Q} o \infty \end{aligned}$$

Single electrons are a good first example but a real system involves scattering from atoms. We can use what we have already used to write an expression for the scattering from an atom, ignoring the anomalous terms.

with limits in units of r_0 of

The second limit can be understood classically as loss of phase coherence when Q is very large and a small difference in position results in an arbitrary change in phase.

$$egin{aligned} f^0(ec{Q}) &= \int
ho(ec{r}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}} dec{r} \ &= egin{cases} Z & ext{for } \mathbf{Q} o \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{0} & ext{for } \mathbf{Q} o \infty \end{aligned}$$

Single electrons are a good first example but a real system involves scattering from atoms. We can use what we have already used to write an expression for the scattering from an atom, ignoring the anomalous terms.

with limits in units of r_0 of

The second limit can be understood classically as loss of phase coherence when Q is very large and a small difference in position results in an arbitrary change in phase.

$$egin{aligned} f^0(ec{Q}) &= \int
ho(ec{r}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}} dec{r} \ &= egin{cases} Z & ext{for } \mathbf{Q} o \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{0} & ext{for } \mathbf{Q} o \infty \end{aligned}$$

However, it is better to use quantum mechanics to calculate the form factor, starting with a hydrogen-like atom as an example. The wave function of the 1s electrons is just

PHYS 570 - Fall 2016

Single electrons are a good first example but a real system involves scattering from atoms. We can use what we have already used to write an expression for the scattering from an atom, ignoring the anomalous terms.

with limits in units of r_0 of

The second limit can be understood classically as loss of phase coherence when Q is very large and a small difference in position results in an arbitrary change in phase.

$$\psi_{1s}(r) = rac{1}{\sqrt{\pi a^3}} e^{-r/a}, \ \ a = rac{a_0}{Z - z_s}$$

$$egin{aligned} f^0(ec{Q}) &= \int
ho(ec{r}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}} dec{r} \ &= egin{cases} Z & ext{for } Q o 0 \ 0 & ext{for } Q o \infty \end{aligned}$$

However, it is better to use quantum mechanics to calculate the form factor, starting with a hydrogen-like atom as an example. The wave function of the 1s electrons is just

Single electrons are a good first example but a real system involves scattering from atoms. We can use what we have already used to write an expression for the scattering from an atom, ignoring the anomalous terms.

with limits in units of r_0 of

The second limit can be understood classically as loss of phase coherence when Q is very large and a small difference in position results in an arbitrary change in phase.

$$\psi_{1s}(r) = rac{1}{\sqrt{\pi a^3}} e^{-r/a}, \ \ a = rac{a_0}{Z - z_s},$$

where z_s is a screening correction

$$f^{0}(ec{Q}) = \int
ho(ec{r}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}} dec{r} \ = egin{cases} Z & ext{for } Q o 0 \ 0 & ext{for } Q o \infty \end{cases}$$

However, it is better to use quantum mechanics to calculate the form factor, starting with a hydrogen-like atom as an example. The wave function of the 1s electrons is just

PHYS 570 - Fall 2016

Since $\rho(r) = |\psi_{1s}(r)|^2$, the form factor integral becomes

Since $\rho(r) = |\psi_{1s}(r)|^2$, the form factor integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^0(\vec{Q}) = rac{1}{\pi a^3} \int e^{-2r/a} e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} r^2 \sin heta dr d heta d\phi$$

Since $\rho(r) = |\psi_{1s}(r)|^2$, the form factor integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int e^{-2r/a} e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} r^{2} \sin\theta dr d\theta d\phi$$

Since $\rho(r) = |\psi_{1s}(r)|^2$, the form factor integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int e^{-2r/a} e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} r^{2} \sin\theta dr d\theta d\phi$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{iQr\cos\theta} \sin\theta d\theta dr$$

Since $\rho(r) = |\psi_{1s}(r)|^2$, the form factor integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int e^{-2r/a} e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} r^{2} \sin\theta dr d\theta d\phi$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{iQr\cos\theta} \sin\theta d\theta dr$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} \frac{1}{iQr} \left[-e^{iQr\cos\theta} \Big|_{0}^{\pi} dr \right]$$

Since $\rho(r) = |\psi_{1s}(r)|^2$, the form factor integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int e^{-2r/a} e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} r^{2} \sin\theta dr d\theta d\phi$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{iQr\cos\theta} \sin\theta d\theta dr$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} \frac{1}{iQr} \left[-e^{iQr\cos\theta} \Big|_{0}^{\pi} dr \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} \frac{1}{iQr} \left[e^{iQr} - e^{-iQr} \right] dr$$

Since $\rho(r) = |\psi_{1s}(r)|^2$, the form factor integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int e^{-2r/a} e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} r^{2} \sin\theta dr d\theta d\phi$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{iQr\cos\theta} \sin\theta d\theta dr$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} \frac{1}{iQr} \left[-e^{iQr\cos\theta} \right]_{0}^{\pi} dr$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} \frac{1}{iQr} \left[e^{iQr} - e^{-iQr} \right] dr$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} \frac{2\sin(Qr)}{Qr} dr$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = rac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} rac{2\sin(Qr)}{Qr} dr$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} \frac{2\sin(Qr)}{Qr} dr$$

If we write $\sin(Qr) = Im\left[e^{iQr}\right]$ then the integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = rac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} rac{2\sin(Qr)}{Qr} dr$$

If we write $\sin(Qr) = Im\left[e^{iQr}\right]$ then the integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^0(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^3Q} \int_0^\infty r e^{-2r/a} Im\left[e^{iQr}\right] dr$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = rac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} rac{2\sin(Qr)}{Qr} dr$$

If we write $\sin(Qr) = Im\left[e^{iQr}\right]$ then the integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} \int_{0}^{\infty} r e^{-2r/a} Im \left[e^{iQr} \right] dr = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} r e^{-2r/a} e^{iQr} dr \right]$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = rac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} rac{2\sin(Qr)}{Qr} dr$$

If we write $\sin(Qr) = Im\left[e^{iQr}\right]$ then the integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} \int_{0}^{\infty} r e^{-2r/a} Im\left[e^{iQr}\right] dr = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} r e^{-2r/a} e^{iQr} dr\right]$$

this can be integrated by parts with

$$u = r \qquad \qquad dv = e^{-r(2/a - iQ)} dr$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = rac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} rac{2\sin(Qr)}{Qr} dr$$

If we write $\sin(Qr) = Im\left[e^{iQr}\right]$ then the integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} \int_{0}^{\infty} r e^{-2r/a} Im \left[e^{iQr} \right] dr = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} r e^{-2r/a} e^{iQr} dr \right]$$

this can be integrated by parts with

$$u = r \qquad dv = e^{-r(2/a - iQ)} dr$$
$$du = dr \qquad v = -\frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)}$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = rac{1}{\pi a^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi r^{2} e^{-2r/a} rac{2\sin(Qr)}{Qr} dr$$

If we write $\sin(Qr) = Im\left[e^{iQr}\right]$ then the integral becomes

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} \int_{0}^{\infty} r e^{-2r/a} Im \left[e^{iQr} \right] dr = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} r e^{-2r/a} e^{iQr} dr \right]$$

this can be integrated by parts with

$$u = r dv = e^{-r(2/a - iQ)} dr$$
$$du = dr v = -\frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)}$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[r \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} dr \right]$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[r \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} dr \right]$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[r \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} dr \right]$$

the first term becomes zero because $re^{-r(2/a-iQ)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, the second is easily integrated

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[r \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} dr \right]$$

the first term becomes zero because $re^{-r(2/a-iQ)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, the second is easily integrated

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[-\frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)^{2}} \Big|_{0}^{\infty} \right]$$
$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[r \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} dr \right]$$

the first term becomes zero because $re^{-r(2/a-iQ)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, the second is easily integrated

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[-\frac{e^{-r(2/a-iQ)}}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} \right] = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{1}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}} \right]$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[r \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} dr \right]$$

the first term becomes zero because $re^{-r(2/a-iQ)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, the second is easily integrated

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[-\frac{e^{-r(2/a-iQ)}}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} \right] = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{1}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{(2/a+iQ)^{2}}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}(2/a+iQ)^{2}} \right]$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[r \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} dr \right]$$

the first term becomes zero because $re^{-r(2/a-iQ)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, the second is easily integrated

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[-\frac{e^{-r(2/a-iQ)}}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} \right] = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{1}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{(2/a+iQ)^{2}}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}(2/a+iQ)^{2}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{(2/a)^{2}+i(4Q/a)-Q^{2}}{[(2/a)^{2}+Q^{2}]^{2}} \right]$$

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[r \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} dr \right]$$

the first term becomes zero because $re^{-r(2/a-iQ)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, the second is easily integrated

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[-\frac{e^{-r(2/a-iQ)}}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}} \Big|_{0}^{\infty} \right] = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{1}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{(2/a+iQ)^{2}}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}(2/a+iQ)^{2}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{(2/a)^{2}+i(4Q/a)-Q^{2}}{[(2/a)^{2}+Q^{2}]^{2}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} \frac{(a/2)^{4}(4Q/a)}{[1+(Qa/2)^{2}]^{2}}$$

C. Segre (IIT)

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[r \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-r(2/a - iQ)}}{(2/a - iQ)} dr \right]$$

the first term becomes zero because $re^{-r(2/a-iQ)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, the second is easily integrated

$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[-\frac{e^{-r(2/a-iQ)}}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} \right] = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{1}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{(2/a+iQ)^{2}}{(2/a-iQ)^{2}(2/a+iQ)^{2}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} Im \left[\frac{(2/a)^{2}+i(4Q/a)-Q^{2}}{[(2/a)^{2}+Q^{2}]^{2}} \right]$$
$$f_{1s}^{0}(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{a^{3}Q} \frac{(a/2)^{4}(4Q/a)}{[1+(Qa/2)^{2}]^{2}} = \frac{1}{[1+(Qa/2)^{2}]^{2}}$$

C. Segre (IIT)

$$f_{1s}^0(ec{Q}) = rac{1}{[1+(Qa/2)^2]^2}$$

$$f_{1s}^0(ec{Q}) = rac{1}{[1+(Qa/2)^2]^2}$$

This partial form factor will vary with Z due to the Coulomb interaction

$$f_{1s}^0(ec{Q}) = rac{1}{[1+(Qa/2)^2]^2}$$

This partial form factor will vary with Z due to the Coulomb interaction



$$f_{1s}^0(\vec{Q}) = rac{1}{[1+(Qa/2)^2]^2}$$

This partial form factor will vary with Z due to the Coulomb interaction



$$f_{1s}^0(ec{Q}) = rac{1}{[1+(Qa/2)^2]^2}$$

This partial form factor will vary with Z due to the Coulomb interaction

$$f^{0}(Q) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_{j}e^{-b_{j}\sin^{2}\theta/\lambda^{2}} + c$$



$$f_{1s}^0(ec{Q}) = rac{1}{[1+(Qa/2)^2]^2}$$

This partial form factor will vary with Z due to the Coulomb interaction



$$f^{0}(Q) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_{j} e^{-b_{j} \sin^{2} \theta / \lambda^{2}} + c = \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_{j} e^{-b_{j}(Q/4\pi)^{2}} + c$$

$$f_{1s}^0(ec{Q}) = rac{1}{[1+(Qa/2)^2]^2}$$

This partial form factor will vary with Z due to the Coulomb interaction



$$f^{0}(Q) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_{j} e^{-b_{j} \sin^{2} \theta / \lambda^{2}} + c = \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_{j} e^{-b_{j}(Q/4\pi)^{2}} + c$$

Previously we derived the scattering intensity from two localized electrons both fixed and randomly oriented to the x-rays



Previously we derived the scattering intensity from two localized electrons both fixed and randomly oriented to the x-rays

when we now replace the two localized electrons with hydrogen atoms, we have, for fixed atoms



Previously we derived the scattering intensity from two localized electrons both fixed and randomly oriented to the x-rays

when we now replace the two localized electrons with hydrogen atoms, we have, for fixed atoms



Previously we derived the scattering intensity from two localized electrons both fixed and randomly oriented to the x-rays

when we now replace the two localized electrons with hydrogen atoms, we have, for fixed atoms

and if we allow the hydrogen atoms to be randomly oriented we have



Previously we derived the scattering intensity from two localized electrons both fixed and randomly oriented to the x-rays

when we now replace the two localized electrons with hydrogen atoms, we have, for fixed atoms

and if we allow the hydrogen atoms to be randomly oriented we have



Previously we derived the scattering intensity from two localized electrons both fixed and randomly oriented to the x-rays

when we now replace the two localized electrons with hydrogen atoms, we have, for fixed atoms

and if we allow the hydrogen atoms to be randomly oriented we have

with no oscillating structure in the form factor



The form factors for all atoms drop to zero as $Q \rightarrow \infty$, however, other processes continue to scatter photons.



The form factors for all atoms drop to zero as $Q \to \infty$, however, other processes continue to scatter photons.

In particular, Compton scattering becomes dominant.



The form factors for all atoms drop to zero as $Q \to \infty$, however, other processes continue to scatter photons.

In particular, Compton scattering becomes dominant.

Compton scattering is an *inelastic* process: $|\vec{k}| \neq |\vec{k'}|$



The form factors for all atoms drop to zero as $Q \rightarrow \infty$, however, other processes continue to scatter photons.

In particular, Compton scattering becomes dominant.

Compton scattering is an *inelastic* process: $|\vec{k}| \neq |\vec{k'}|$ and it is also incoherent.



The form factors for all atoms drop to zero as $Q \to \infty$, however, other processes continue to scatter photons.

In particular, Compton scattering becomes dominant.

Compton scattering is an *inelastic* process: $|\vec{k}| \neq |\vec{k'}|$ and it is also incoherent.

The Compton scattering contains information about the momentum distribution of the electrons in the ground state of the atom.



The form factors for all atoms drop to zero as $Q \rightarrow \infty$, however, other processes continue to scatter photons.

In particular, Compton scattering becomes dominant.

Compton scattering is an *inelastic* process: $|\vec{k}| \neq |\vec{k'}|$ and it is also incoherent.

The Compton scattering contains information about the momentum distribution of the electrons in the ground state of the atom.



We can now write the total scattering from an atom as the sum of two components:

We can now write the total scattering from an atom as the sum of two components:

$$\left(rac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}
ight)_{el}\sim r_0^2|f(Q)|^2$$

We can now write the total scattering from an atom as the sum of two components:

$$\left(rac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}
ight)_{el} \sim r_0^2 |f(Q)|^2$$

 $\left(rac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}
ight)_{in} \sim r_0^2 S(Z,Q)$

We can now write the total scattering from an atom as the sum of two components:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{el} \sim r_0^2 |f(Q)|^2 \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{in} \sim r_0^2 S(Z,Q)$$

recall that f(Q) o Z as Q o 0

We can now write the total scattering from an atom as the sum of two components:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{el} \sim r_0^2 |f(Q)|^2 \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{in} \sim r_0^2 S(Z,Q)$$

recall that f(Q) o Z as Q o 0so $|f(Q)|^2 o Z^2$ as Q o 0

We can now write the total scattering from an atom as the sum of two components:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{el} \sim r_0^2 |f(Q)|^2 \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{in} \sim r_0^2 S(Z,Q)$$

recall that f(Q) o Z as Q o 0 so $|f(Q)|^2 o Z^2$ as Q o 0

and for incoherent scattering we expect $S(Z, Q) \rightarrow Z$ as $Q \rightarrow \infty$

We can now write the total scattering from an atom as the sum of two components:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{el} \sim r_0^2 |f(Q)|^2 \\ \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{in} \sim r_0^2 S(Z,Q)$$

recall that $f(Q) \rightarrow Z$ as $Q \rightarrow 0$

so $|f(Q)|^2 o Z^2$ as Q o 0

and for incoherent scattering we expect $S(Z, Q) \rightarrow Z$ as $Q \rightarrow \infty$



We can now write the total scattering from an atom as the sum of two components:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{el} \sim r_0^2 |f(Q)|^2 \\ \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{in} \sim r_0^2 S(Z,Q)$$

recall that f(Q) o Z as Q o 0so $|f(Q)|^2 o Z^2$ as Q o 0

and for incoherent scattering we expect $S(Z, Q) \rightarrow Z$ as $Q \rightarrow \infty$

$$Z_{He} = 2 \qquad Z_{Ar} = 18$$



From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(\vec{Q}) = \sum_{j} f_{j}(\vec{Q}) e^{i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}}$$

From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$${\cal F}^{mol}(ec Q) = \sum_j f_j(ec Q) e^{iec Q\cdotec r}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule



From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(ec{Q}) = \sum_j f_j(ec{Q}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule

We have the following relationships:


From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(ec{Q}) = \sum_j f_j(ec{Q}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule

We have the following relationships:

U B А

ŌĀ

From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(ec{Q}) = \sum_j f_j(ec{Q}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule

We have the following relationships:

 $\overline{OA} = \overline{OB}$



From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(ec{Q}) = \sum_j f_j(ec{Q}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule

$$\overline{OA} = \overline{OB} = \overline{OC}$$



From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(ec{Q}) = \sum_j f_j(ec{Q}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule

$$\overline{OA} = \overline{OB} = \overline{OC} = \overline{OD}$$



From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(ec{Q}) = \sum_j f_j(ec{Q}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule

$$\overline{OA} = \overline{OB} = \overline{OC} = \overline{OD} = 1$$



From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(ec{Q}) = \sum_j f_j(ec{Q}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule

We have the following relationships:

$$\overline{OA} = \overline{OB} = \overline{OC} = \overline{OD} = 1$$



 $\overline{OA} \cdot \overline{OD} = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \cos u$

From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(ec{Q}) = \sum_j f_j(ec{Q}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule

We have the following relationships:

$$\overline{OA} = \overline{OB} = \overline{OC} = \overline{OD} = 1$$



 $\overline{OA} \cdot \overline{OD} = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \cos u = -z$

From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(ec{Q}) = \sum_j f_j(ec{Q}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule

We have the following relationships:

$$\overline{OA} = \overline{OB} = \overline{OC} = \overline{OD} = 1$$



 $\overline{OA} \cdot \overline{OD} = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \cos u = -z$ $= \overline{OA} \cdot \overline{OB}$

From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(ec{Q}) = \sum_j f_j(ec{Q}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule

We have the following relationships:

$$\overline{OA} = \overline{OB} = \overline{OC} = \overline{OD} = 1$$
$$\overline{OA} = \overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}$$



$$\overline{OA} \cdot \overline{OD} = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \cos u = -z$$
$$= \overline{OA} \cdot \overline{OB}$$

From the atomic form factor, we would like to abstract to the next level of complexity, a molecule (we will leave crystals for Chapter 5).

$$F^{mol}(ec{Q}) = \sum_j f_j(ec{Q}) e^{iec{Q}\cdotec{r}}$$

As an example take the CF_4 molecule

$$\overline{OA} = \overline{OB} = \overline{OC} = \overline{OD} = 1$$
$$\overline{OA} = \overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}$$
$$\overline{OB} = \overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B}$$



$$\overline{OA} \cdot \overline{OD} = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \cos u = -z$$
$$= \overline{OA} \cdot \overline{OB}$$

$$-z = (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B})$$



$$-z = (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B})$$
$$= z^2 + 0 + 0 + \overline{O'A} \cdot \overline{O'B}$$



$$-z = (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B})$$
$$= z^{2} + 0 + 0 + \overline{O'A} \cdot \overline{O'B}$$
$$= z^{2} + (O'A)^{2} \cos(120^{\circ})$$



$$-z = (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B})$$
$$= z^{2} + 0 + 0 + \overline{O'A} \cdot \overline{O'B}$$
$$= z^{2} + (O'A)^{2} \cos(120^{\circ})$$



but from the triangle OO'A

$$-z = (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B})$$
$$= z^{2} + 0 + 0 + \overline{O'A} \cdot \overline{O'B}$$
$$= z^{2} + (O'A)^{2} \cos(120^{\circ})$$



$$-z = (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B})$$
$$= z^2 + 0 + 0 + \overline{O'A} \cdot \overline{O'B}$$
$$= z^2 + (O'A)^2 \cos(120^\circ)$$
$$= z^2 + (1 - z^2) \cos(120^\circ)$$



$$-z = (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B})$$
$$= z^2 + 0 + 0 + \overline{O'A} \cdot \overline{O'B}$$
$$= z^2 + (O'A)^2 \cos(120^\circ)$$
$$= z^2 + (1 - z^2) \cos(120^\circ)$$
$$= z^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - z^2)$$



$$-z = (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B})$$
$$= z^2 + 0 + 0 + \overline{O'A} \cdot \overline{O'B}$$
$$= z^2 + (O'A)^2 \cos(120^\circ)$$
$$= z^2 + (1 - z^2) \cos(120^\circ)$$
$$= z^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - z^2)$$
$$0 = 3z^2 + 2z - 1$$



$$-z = (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B})$$
$$= z^2 + 0 + 0 + \overline{O'A} \cdot \overline{O'B}$$
$$= z^2 + (O'A)^2 \cos(120^\circ)$$
$$= z^2 + (1 - z^2) \cos(120^\circ)$$
$$= z^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - z^2)$$
$$0 = 3z^2 + 2z - 1$$
$$z = \frac{1}{3}$$



$$-z = (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B})$$

= $z^2 + 0 + 0 + \overline{O'A} \cdot \overline{O'B}$
= $z^2 + (O'A)^2 \cos(120^\circ)$
= $z^2 + (1 - z^2) \cos(120^\circ)$
= $z^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - z^2)$
 $0 = 3z^2 + 2z - 1$
 $z = \frac{1}{3}$
 $u = \cos^{-1}(-z) = 109.5^\circ$



$$\begin{aligned} -z &= (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B}) \\ &= z^2 + 0 + 0 + \overline{O'A} \cdot \overline{O'B} \\ &= z^2 + (O'A)^2 \cos(120^\circ) \\ &= z^2 + (1 - z^2) \cos(120^\circ) \\ &= z^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - z^2) \\ 0 &= 3z^2 + 2z - 1 \\ z &= \frac{1}{3} \\ u &= \cos^{-1}(-z) = 109.5^\circ \end{aligned}$$
but from the triangle $OO'A \\ (O'A)^2 &= 1 - z^2 \\ F_{\pm}^{mol} &= f^C(Q) + f^F(Q) \left[3e^{\pm iQR/3} + e^{\pm iQR} \right] \end{aligned}$

B

С

D

U

2000 -

$$\begin{aligned} -z &= (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'A}) \cdot (\overline{OO'} + \overline{O'B}) \\ &= z^{2} + 0 + 0 + \overline{O'A} \cdot \overline{O'B} \\ &= z^{2} + (O'A)^{2} \cos(120^{\circ}) \\ &= z^{2} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - z^{2}) \\ 0 &= 3z^{2} + 2z - 1 \\ z &= \frac{1}{3} \\ u &= \cos^{-1}(-z) &= 109.5^{\circ} \\ F_{\pm}^{mol} &= f^{C}(Q) + f^{F}(Q) \left[3e^{\pm iQR/3} + e^{\pm iQR} \right] \\ |F^{mol}|^{2} &= |f^{C}|^{2} + 4|f^{F}|^{2} + 8f^{C}f^{F} \frac{\sin(QR)}{QR} + 12|f^{F}|^{2} \frac{\sin(Q\sqrt{8/3R})}{Q\sqrt{8/3R}} \\ Q\sqrt{8/3R} \end{aligned}$$

Ordered 2D crystal

Amorphous solid or liquid





Ordered 2D crystal

Amorphous solid or liquid





Ordered 2D crystal

Amorphous solid or liquid



Ordered 2D crystal

Amorphous solid or liquid



Ordered 2D crystal

Amorphous solid or liquid

