• PHYS 570 days at 10-ID

- PHYS 570 days at 10-ID
- Final Exam and General User Proposals

- PHYS 570 days at 10-ID
- Final Exam and General User Proposals
- X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy

- PHYS 570 days at 10-ID
- Final Exam and General User Proposals
- X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy
- EXAFS of NFA Steels

- PHYS 570 days at 10-ID
- Final Exam and General User Proposals
- X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy
- EXAFS of NFA Steels

Homework Assignment #06: Chapter 6: 1,6,7,8,9 due Tuesday, April 14, 2015

- PHYS 570 days at 10-ID
- Final Exam and General User Proposals
- X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy
- EXAFS of NFA Steels

Homework Assignment #06: Chapter 6: 1,6,7,8,9 due Tuesday, April 14, 2015

No class on Thursday, April 09, 2015

1 April 10, 2015, 09:00 - 16:00

❷ April 24, 2015, 09:00 - 16:00

- April 10, 2015, 09:00 16:00
- 2 April 24, 2015, 09:00 16:00
- 8 Activities
 - Absolute flux measurement
 - Reflectivity measurement
 - EXAFS measurement
 - Rocking curve measurement (possibly)

- April 10, 2015, 09:00 16:00
- 2 April 24, 2015, 09:00 16:00
- 8 Activities
 - Absolute flux measurement
 - Reflectivity measurement
 - EXAFS measurement
 - Rocking curve measurement (possibly)

Ø Make sure your badge is ready

- **1** April 10, 2015, 09:00 16:00
- 2 April 24, 2015, 09:00 16:00
- 8 Activities
 - Absolute flux measurement
 - Reflectivity measurement
 - EXAFS measurement
 - Rocking curve measurement (possibly)
- 4 Make sure your badge is ready
- **5** Leave plenty of time to get the badge

- **1** April 10, 2015, 09:00 16:00
- 2 April 24, 2015, 09:00 16:00
- 8 Activities
 - Absolute flux measurement
 - Reflectivity measurement
 - EXAFS measurement
 - Rocking curve measurement (possibly)
- 4 Make sure your badge is ready
- **5** Leave plenty of time to get the badge
- 6 Let me know when you plan to come!

Final Projects & Presentations

In-class student presentations on research topics

- Choose a research article which features a synchrotron technique
- Get it approved by instructor first!
- Schedule a 15 minute time on Final Exam Day (Tuesday, May 5, 2015)

Final Projects & Presentations

In-class student presentations on research topics

- Choose a research article which features a synchrotron technique
- Get it approved by instructor first!
- Schedule a 15 minute time on Final Exam Day (Tuesday, May 5, 2015)

Final project - writing a General User Proposal

- Think of a research problem (could be yours) that can be approached using synchrotron radiation techniques
- Make proposal and get approval from instructor before starting
- Must be different techique than your presentation!

PHYS 570 - Spring 2015

What is XAFS?

X-ray Absorption Fine-Structure (XAFS) is the modulation of the x-ray absorption coefficient at energies near and above an x-ray absorption edge. XAFS is also referred to as X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS) and is broken into 2 regimes:

XANESX-ray Absorption Near-Edge SpectroscopyEXAFSExtended X-ray Absorption Fine-Structure

which contain related, but slightly different information about an element's local coordination and chemical state.



XAFS Characteristics:

- local atomic coordination
- chemical / oxidation state
- applies to any element
- works at low concentrations
- minimal sample requirements

C. Segre (IIT)

An x-ray is absorbed by an atom when the energy of the x-ray is transferred to a corelevel electron (K, L, or M shell).



An x-ray is absorbed by an atom when the energy of the x-ray is transferred to a corelevel electron (K, L, or M shell).

The atom is in an excited state with an empty electronic level: a core hole.



An x-ray is absorbed by an atom when the energy of the x-ray is transferred to a corelevel electron (K, L, or M shell).

The atom is in an excited state with an empty electronic level: a core hole.

Any excess energy from the x-ray is given to an ejected photoelectron



An x-ray is absorbed by an atom when the energy of the x-ray is transferred to a corelevel electron (K, L, or M shell).

The atom is in an excited state with an empty electronic level: a core hole.

Any excess energy from the x-ray is given to an ejected photoelectron, which expands as a spherical wave



An x-ray is absorbed by an atom when the energy of the x-ray is transferred to a corelevel electron (K, L, or M shell).

The atom is in an excited state with an empty electronic level: a core hole.

Any excess energy from the x-ray is given to an ejected photoelectron, which expands as a spherical wave



An x-ray is absorbed by an atom when the energy of the x-ray is transferred to a corelevel electron (K, L, or M shell).

The atom is in an excited state with an empty electronic level: a core hole.

Any excess energy from the x-ray is given to an ejected photoelectron, which expands as a spherical wave, reaches the neighboring electron clouds



An x-ray is absorbed by an atom when the energy of the x-ray is transferred to a corelevel electron (K, L, or M shell).

The atom is in an excited state with an empty electronic level: a core hole.

Any excess energy from the x-ray is given to an ejected photoelectron, which expands as a spherical wave, reaches the neighboring electron clouds, and scatters back to the core hole



An x-ray is absorbed by an atom when the energy of the x-ray is transferred to a corelevel electron (K, L, or M shell).

The atom is in an excited state with an empty electronic level: a core hole.

Any excess energy from the x-ray is given to an ejected photoelectron, which expands as a spherical wave, reaches the neighboring electron clouds, and scatters back to the core hole



An x-ray is absorbed by an atom when the energy of the x-ray is transferred to a corelevel electron (K, L, or M shell).

The atom is in an excited state with an empty electronic level: a core hole.

Any excess energy from the x-ray is given to an ejected photoelectron, which expands as a spherical wave, reaches the neighboring electron clouds, and scatters back to the core hole, creating interference patterns called XANES and EXAFS.



C. Segre (IIT)

normalize by fitting pre-edge and post-edge trends



normalize by fitting pre-edge and post-edge trends



normalize by fitting pre-edge and post-edge trends remove "smooth" μ_0 background



normalize by fitting pre-edge and post-edge trends remove "smooth" μ_0 back-ground

convert to photoelectron momentum space

$$k=\frac{2\pi}{hc}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{E}_0}$$



normalize by fitting pre-edge and post-edge trends remove "smooth" μ_0 background

convert to photoelectron momentum space

$$k=\frac{2\pi}{hc}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{E}_0}$$

weight by appropriate power of k to obtain "good" envelope which clearly shows that EXAFS is a sum of oscillations with varying frequencies and phases



normalize by fitting pre-edge and post-edge trends remove "smooth" μ_0 background

convert to photoelectron momentum space

$$k=\frac{2\pi}{hc}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{E}_0}$$

weight by appropriate power of k to obtain "good" envelope which clearly shows that EXAFS is a sum of oscillations with varying frequencies and phases

Fourier transform to get real space EXAFS





C. Segre (IIT)



The shift of the edge position can be used to determine the valence state.



The shift of the edge position can be used to determine the valence state.

The heights and positions of pre-edge peaks can also be reliably used to determine ionic ratios for many atomic species.



The shift of the edge position can be used to determine the valence state.

The heights and positions of pre-edge peaks can also be reliably used to determine ionic ratios for many atomic species. XANES can be used as a fingerprint of phases and XANES analysis can be as simple as making linear combinations of "known" spectra to get com-

position.



The shift of the edge position can be used to determine the valence state.

The heights and positions of pre-edge peaks can also be reliably used to determine ionic ratios for many atomic species.

XANES can be used as a fingerprint of phases and XANES analysis can be as simple as making linear combinations of "known" spectra to get composition.

Modern codes, such as FEFF9, are able to accurately compute XANES features.

Coordination chemistry




Coordination chemistry



The XANES of Cr^{3+} and Cr^{6+} shows a dramatic dependence on oxidation state and coordination chemistry.

For ions with partially filled *d* shells, the *p*-*d* hybridization changes dramatically as *regular octahedra* distort, and is very large for *tetrahedral* coordination.

This gives a dramatic *pre-edge peak* – absorption to a localized electronic state.

C. Segre (IIT)

PHYS 570 - Spring 2015

An atom absorbs an x-ray of energy E, destroying a core electron with energy E_0 and creating a photo-electron with energy $(E - E_0)$. The core hole is eventually filled, and a fluorescence x-ray or Auger electron is ejected from the atom.

An atom absorbs an x-ray of energy E, destroying a core electron with energy E_0 and creating a photo-electron with energy $(E - E_0)$. The core hole is eventually filled, and a fluorescence x-ray or Auger electron is ejected from the atom.



An atom absorbs an x-ray of energy E, destroying a core electron with energy E_0 and creating a photo-electron with energy $(E - E_0)$. The core hole is eventually filled, and a fluorescence x-ray or Auger electron is ejected from the atom.



x-ray absorption needs an available state for the photoelectron to go into

An atom absorbs an x-ray of energy E, destroying a core electron with energy E_0 and creating a photo-electron with energy $(E - E_0)$. The core hole is eventually filled, and a fluorescence x-ray or Auger electron is ejected from the atom.



x-ray absorption needs an available state for the photoelectron to go into

> No available state: No absorption

An atom absorbs an x-ray of energy E, destroying a core electron with energy E_0 and creating a photo-electron with energy $(E - E_0)$. The core hole is eventually filled, and a fluorescence x-ray or Auger electron is ejected from the atom.



x-ray absorption needs an available state for the photoelectron to go into

No available state: No absorption

Once the x-ray energy is large enough to promote a core-level to the continuum, there is a sharp increase in absorption.

An atom absorbs an x-ray of energy E, destroying a core electron with energy E_0 and creating a photo-electron with energy $(E - E_0)$. The core hole is eventually filled, and a fluorescence x-ray or Auger electron is ejected from the atom.



x-ray absorption needs an available state for the photoelectron to go into

No available state: No absorption

Once the x-ray energy is large enough to promote a core-level to the continuum, there is a sharp increase in absorption.

 $\mu(E)$ has a sharp step at the core-level binding energy, and is a smooth function of energy above this absorption edge.

C. Segre (IIT)

PHYS 570 - Spring 2015

With another atom nearby, the ejected photo-electron can *scatter* from a neighboring atom and return back to the absorbing atom.

With another atom nearby, the ejected photo-electron can *scatter* from a neighboring atom and return back to the absorbing atom.



With another atom nearby, the ejected photo-electron can *scatter* from a neighboring atom and return back to the absorbing atom.



The photo-electron scattered back will interfere with itself.

 μ depends on the presence of an electron state with energy $(E-E_0),$ at the absorbing atom.

With another atom nearby, the ejected photo-electron can *scatter* from a neighboring atom and return back to the absorbing atom.



The photo-electron scattered back will interfere with itself.

 μ depends on the presence of an electron state with energy $(E-E_0),$ at the absorbing atom.

The amplitude of the back-scattered photo-electron *at the absorbing atom* will vary with energy, causing the oscillations in $\mu(E)$ that are the XAFS. The XAFS oscillations are an interference effect of the photo-electron with itself, due to the presence of neighboring atoms.

C. Segre (IIT)

$$\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$$

$$\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$$
$$\chi(k[E]) = \frac{\mu(E) - \mu_0(E)}{\mu_0(E)}$$

$$\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$$
$$\chi(k[E]) = \frac{\mu(E) - \mu_0(E)}{\mu_0(E)}$$
$$k = \sqrt{\frac{2m(E - E_0)}{\hbar^2}}$$





(i) the *initial state* has a core level electron and the photon.
 This is not altered by the neighboring atom.



(i) the *initial state* has a core level electron and the photon.
 This is not altered by the neighboring atom.

 ${\cal H}$ the *interaction*. In the dipole approximation, ${\cal H}=e^{ikr}pprox 1$.



(i) the *initial state* has a core level electron and the photon.
 This is not altered by the neighboring atom.

 ${\cal H}$ the *interaction*. In the dipole approximation, ${\cal H}=e^{ikr}pprox 1$.

f > the *final state* has a photo-electron, a hole in the core, and no photon. This is altered by the neighboring atom: the photo-electron scatters.

C. Segre (IIT)

PHYS 570 - Spring 2015

Writing $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$, where Δf gives the change in photo-electron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand μ to get

Writing $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$, where Δf gives the change in photo-electron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand μ to get

$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i | \mathcal{H} | f_0 \rangle|^2 \left[1 + \frac{\langle i | \mathcal{H} | \Delta f \rangle \langle f_0 | \mathcal{H} | i \rangle^*}{|\langle i | \mathcal{H} | f_0 \rangle|^2} + C.C. \right]$$

Writing $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$, where Δf gives the change in photo-electron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand μ to get

$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2 \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle^*}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2} + C.C.\right]$$

Compare this to $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$ and we see

Writing $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$, where Δf gives the change in photo-electron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand μ to get

$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2 \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle^*}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2} + C.C.\right]$$

Compare this to $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$ and we see

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mu_0(E) \sim & |\langle i | \mathcal{H} | f_0 \rangle|^2 \\ \chi(E) \sim & \langle i | \mathcal{H} | \Delta f \rangle \sim \langle i | \Delta f \rangle \end{array}$$

Writing $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$, where Δf gives the change in photo-electron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand μ to get

$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2 \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle^*}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2} + C.C.\right]$$

Compare this to $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$ and we see

$$\mu_0(E) \sim |\langle i | \mathcal{H} | f_0 \rangle|^2$$
 (atomic background)
 $\chi(E) \sim \langle i | \mathcal{H} | \Delta f \rangle \sim \langle i | \Delta f \rangle$ (XAFS oscillations)

Writing $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$, where Δf gives the change in photo-electron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand μ to get

$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2 \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle^*}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2} + C.C.\right]$$

Compare this to $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$ and we see

$$\mu_0(E) \sim |\langle i | \mathcal{H} | f_0 \rangle|^2$$
 (atomic background)
 $\chi(E) \sim \langle i | \mathcal{H} | \Delta f \rangle \sim \langle i | \Delta f \rangle$ (XAFS oscillations)

Since the *initial state* – the core-level – is very nearly a delta-function in space, centered at the absorbing atom:

$$\chi \sim \langle i | \Delta f \rangle \sim \int dr \delta(r) \psi_{\mathrm{scatt}}(r) = \psi_{\mathrm{scatt}}(r=0).$$

Writing $|f\rangle = |f_0 + \Delta f\rangle$, where Δf gives the change in photo-electron final state due to backscattering from the neighboring atom, we can expand μ to get

$$\mu(E) \sim |\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2 \left[1 + \frac{\langle i|\mathcal{H}|\Delta f\rangle \langle f_0|\mathcal{H}|i\rangle^*}{|\langle i|\mathcal{H}|f_0\rangle|^2} + C.C.\right]$$

Compare this to $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)[1 + \chi(E)]$ and we see

$$\mu_0(E) \sim |\langle i | \mathcal{H} | f_0 \rangle|^2$$
 (atomic background)
 $\chi(E) \sim \langle i | \mathcal{H} | \Delta f \rangle \sim \langle i | \Delta f \rangle$ (XAFS oscillations)

Since the *initial state* – the core-level – is very nearly a delta-function in space, centered at the absorbing atom:

$$\chi \sim \langle i | \Delta f \rangle \sim \int dr \delta(r) \psi_{\mathrm{scatt}}(r) = \psi_{\mathrm{scatt}}(r=0).$$

 χ is due to the portion of the photo-electron wave-function at the absorbing atom caused that was scattered back by neighboring atoms.

C. Segre (IIT)

With $\chi \sim \psi_{\rm scatt}(0)$, and a spherical wave for the photo-electron

$$\psi(k,r) = e^{ikr}/kr$$

we can model $\chi(k)$ as the photoelectron



$$\chi(k) \sim \psi_{\rm scatt}(0)$$

With $\chi \sim \psi_{\rm scatt}(0)$, and a spherical wave for the photo-electron

$$\psi(k,r) = e^{ikr}/kr$$

we can model $\chi(k)$ as the photoelectron

1 leaves the absorbing atom



$$\chi(k) \sim \psi_{
m scatt}(0) = rac{e^{ikR}}{kR}$$

With $\chi \sim \psi_{\rm scatt}(0)$, and a spherical wave for the photo-electron

$$\psi(k,r) = e^{ikr}/kr$$

we can model $\chi(k)$ as the photoelectron

- leaves the absorbing atom
- 2 scatters from the neighbor atom



$$\chi(k) \sim \psi_{\text{scatt}}(0) = rac{e^{ikR}}{kR} \left[2kf(k)e^{i\delta(k)} \right]$$

where scattering from the neighboring atom gives the amplitude f(k) and phase-shift $\delta(k)$ to the photo-electron.

With $\chi \sim \psi_{\rm scatt}(0)$, and a spherical wave for the photo-electron

$$\psi(k,r) = e^{ikr}/kr$$

we can model $\chi(k)$ as the photoelectron

- leaves the absorbing atom
- 2 scatters from the neighbor atom
- 8 returns to the absorbing atom



$$\chi(k) \sim \psi_{\text{scatt}}(0) = \frac{e^{ikR}}{kR} \left[2kf(k)e^{i\delta(k)} \right] \frac{e^{ikR}}{kR}$$

where scattering from the neighboring atom gives the amplitude f(k) and phase-shift $\delta(k)$ to the photo-electron.

With $\chi \sim \psi_{\rm scatt}(0)$, and a spherical wave for the photo-electron

$$\psi(k,r) = e^{ikr}/kr$$

we can model $\chi(k)$ as the photoelectron

- leaves the absorbing atom
- 2 scatters from the neighbor atom
- 8 returns to the absorbing atom



$$\chi(k) \sim \psi_{\text{scatt}}(0) = rac{e^{ikR}}{kR} \left[2kf(k)e^{i\delta(k)} \right] rac{e^{ikR}}{kR} + C.C.$$

where scattering from the neighboring atom gives the amplitude f(k) and phase-shift $\delta(k)$ to the photo-electron.

Including the complex conjugate, and insisting on a Real value, we get

$$\chi(k) = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \sin[2kR + \delta(k)]$$

Including the complex conjugate, and insisting on a Real value, we get

$$\chi(k) = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \sin[2kR + \delta(k)]$$

The EXAFS Equation for 1 scattering atom.

Including the complex conjugate, and insisting on a Real value, we get

$$\chi(k) = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \sin[2kR + \delta(k)]$$

The EXAFS Equation for 1 scattering atom.

For *N* neighboring atoms, and with thermal and static disorder of σ^2 , giving the *mean-square disorder* in *R*, we have

$$\chi(k) = \frac{Nf(k)e^{-2k^2\sigma^2}}{kR^2}\sin\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$

Including the complex conjugate, and insisting on a Real value, we get

$$\chi(k) = \frac{f(k)}{kR^2} \sin[2kR + \delta(k)]$$

The EXAFS Equation for 1 scattering atom.

For *N* neighboring atoms, and with thermal and static disorder of σ^2 , giving the *mean-square disorder* in *R*, we have

$$\chi(k) = \frac{Nf(k)e^{-2k^2\sigma^2}}{kR^2}\sin\left[2kR + \delta(k)\right]$$

A real system has atoms at different distances and of different types. We add all these contributions to get a better version of the EXAFS equation:

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2k R_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

C. Segre (IIT)

Scattering Amplitude and Phase-Shift: f(k) and $\delta(k)$

The scattering amplitude f(k) and phase-shift $\delta(k)$ depend on atomic number.



The scattering amplitude f(k) peaks at different k values and extends to higher-k for heavier elements. For very heavy elements, there is structure in f(k).

Scattering Amplitude and Phase-Shift: f(k) and $\delta(k)$

The scattering amplitude f(k) and phase-shift $\delta(k)$ depend on atomic number.



The scattering amplitude f(k) peaks at different k values and extends to higher-k for heavier elements. For very heavy elements, there is structure in f(k).

The phase shift $\delta(k)$ shows sharp changes for very heavy elements.

Z can usually be determined to ± 5 . Fe and O can be distinguished, but Fe and Mn cannot be.
This simple description is qualitatively right, but for quantitative EXAFS calculations, it's important to consider these points:

This simple description is qualitatively right, but for quantitative EXAFS calculations, it's important to consider these points:

Inelastic Losses The photo-electron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.

This simple description is qualitatively right, but for quantitative EXAFS calculations, it's important to consider these points:

Inelastic Losses The photo-electron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.

Multiple Scattering the photo-electron can scatter from multiple atoms. Most important at low k, and leads to a Path Expansion.

This simple description is qualitatively right, but for quantitative EXAFS calculations, it's important to consider these points:

Inelastic Losses The photo-electron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.

Multiple Scatteringthe photo-electron can scatter from multiple atoms.Most important at low k, and leads to a Path Expansion.

Muffin-Tin Approximation: The scattering calculation needs a real-space potential, and a muffin-tin approximation is most tractable.

This simple description is qualitatively right, but for quantitative EXAFS calculations, it's important to consider these points:

Inelastic Losses The photo-electron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.

Multiple Scatteringthe photo-electron can scatter from multiple atoms.
Most important at low k, and leads to a Path Expansion.Muffin-Tin Approximation:The scattering calculation needs a real-space
potential, and a muffin-tin approximation is most tractable.Polarization Effectssynchrotron beams are highly polarized, which needs
to be taken into account. This is simple for K-edges ($s \rightarrow p$
is dipole), and less so for L-edges (where both $p \rightarrow d$ and
 $p \rightarrow s$ contribute).

This simple description is qualitatively right, but for quantitative EXAFS calculations, it's important to consider these points:

Inelastic Losses The photo-electron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.

Multiple Scattering the photo-electron can scatter from multiple atoms. Most important at low k, and leads to a Path Expansion. Muffin-Tin Approximation: The scattering calculation needs a real-space

potential, and a muffin-tin approximation is most tractable. *Polarization Effects* synchrotron beams are highly polarized, which needs

to be taken into account. This is simple for K-edges ($s \rightarrow p$ is dipole), and less so for L-edges (where both $p \rightarrow d$ and $p \rightarrow s$ contribute).

Disorder Terms thermal and static disorder in real systems should be properly considered: A topic of its own.

This simple description is qualitatively right, but for quantitative EXAFS calculations, it's important to consider these points:

Inelastic Losses The photo-electron mean-free path, including self-energy and finite lifetime of the core-hole.

Multiple Scattering the photo-electron can scatter from multiple atoms. Most important at low k, and leads to a Path Expansion.

Muffin-Tin Approximation: The scattering calculation needs a real-space potential, and a muffin-tin approximation is most tractable. *Polarization Effects* synchrotron beams are highly polarized, which needs to be taken into account. This is simple for K-edges ($s \rightarrow p$ is dipole), and less so for L-edges (where both $p \rightarrow d$ and $p \rightarrow s$ contribute).

Disorder Terms thermal and static disorder in real systems should be properly considered: A topic of its own.

Generally, the calculations (FEFF, etc) include these effects. We'll discuss of few of these in more detail \ldots .

C. Segre (IIT)

To get to $\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2k R_j + \delta_j(k)]$

we used a spherical wave for the photo-electron: $\psi(k, r) \sim e^{ikr}/kr$. But the photo-electron can also scatter *inelastically*, and may not be able to get back the absorbing atom.

To get to $\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2k R_j + \delta_j(k)]$

we used a spherical wave for the photo-electron: $\psi(k, r) \sim e^{ikr}/kr$. But the photo-electron can also scatter *inelastically*, and may not be able to get back the absorbing atom. *Plus*: the core-level has a *finite lifetime*, limiting how far the photo-electron can go out and make it back coherently.

To get to $\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2k R_j + \delta_j(k)]$

we used a spherical wave for the photo-electron: $\psi(k, r) \sim e^{ikr}/kr$. But the photo-electron can also scatter *inelastically*, and may not be able to get back the absorbing atom. *Plus*: the core-level has a *finite lifetime*, limiting how far the photo-electron can go out and make it back coherently.

To get to $\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2k R_j + \delta_j(k)]$

we used a spherical wave for the photo-electron: $\psi(k, r) \sim e^{ikr}/kr$. But the photo-electron can also scatter *inelastically*, and may not be able to get back the absorbing atom. *Plus*: the core-level has a *finite lifetime*, limiting how far the photo-electron can go out and make it back coherently.

Using a damped wave-function:

$$\psi(k,r) \sim rac{e^{ikr}e^{-r/\lambda(k)}}{kr}$$

where $\lambda(k)$ is the photo-electron's *mean free path* (including core-hole lifetime), the EXAFS equation becomes:

To get to $\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{k R_j^2} \sin[2k R_j + \delta_j(k)]$

we used a spherical wave for the photo-electron: $\psi(k, r) \sim e^{ikr}/kr$. But the photo-electron can also scatter *inelastically*, and may not be able to get back the absorbing atom. *Plus*: the core-level has a *finite lifetime*, limiting how far the photo-electron can go out and make it back coherently.

Using a damped wave-function:

$$\psi(k,r) \sim rac{e^{ikr}e^{-r/\lambda(k)}}{kr}$$

where $\lambda(k)$ is the photo-electron's *mean free path* (including core-hole lifetime), the EXAFS equation becomes:

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

C. Segre (IIT)

April 07, 2015 17 / 30





- For
$$3\,{\rm \AA}^{-1} < {\rm k} < 15\,{\rm \AA}^{-1}$$
 , $\lambda < 30\,{\rm \AA}$



- For $3\,{\rm \AA}^{-1} < {\rm k} < 15\,{\rm \AA}^{-1}$, $\lambda < 30\,{\rm \AA}$
- This (and the R^{-2} term) makes EXAFS a *local atomic probe*.



- For $3\,{\rm \AA}^{-1} < k < 15\,{\rm \AA}^{-1}$, $\lambda < 30\,{\rm \AA}$
- This (and the R^{-2} term) makes EXAFS a *local atomic probe*.
- For XANES ($k < 3 \text{ Å}^{-1}$) Both λ and R^{-2} get large: XANES is not really a *local probe*.

S_0^2 : Amplitude Reduction Term

Another important Amplitude Reduction Term is due to the relaxation of the *other electrons in the absorbing atom* to the hole in the core level:

$$S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1}
angle|^2$$

 $|\Phi_0^{N-1}\rangle = (N-1)$ electrons in unexcited atom. $\langle \Phi_f^{N-1}| = (N-1)$ electrons, relaxed by core-hole.

S_0^2 : Amplitude Reduction Term

Another important Amplitude Reduction Term is due to the relaxation of the *other electrons in the absorbing atom* to the hole in the core level:

 $S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1} \rangle|^2$

 $|\Phi_0^{N-1}\rangle = (N-1)$ electrons in unexcited atom. $\langle \Phi_f^{N-1}| = (N-1)$ electrons, relaxed by core-hole.

 S_0^2 is usually taken as a constant:

$$0.7 < S_0^2 < 1.0$$

and is used as a Fitting Parameter that multiplies χ :

S_0^2 : Amplitude Reduction Term

Another important Amplitude Reduction Term is due to the relaxation of the *other electrons in the absorbing atom* to the hole in the core level:

 $S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1} \rangle|^2$

 $|\Phi_0^{N-1}\rangle = (N-1)$ electrons in unexcited atom. $|\Phi_f^{N-1}\rangle = (N-1)$ electrons, relaxed by core-hole.

 S_0^2 is usually taken as a constant:

$$0.7 < S_0^2 < 1.0$$

and is used as a Fitting Parameter that multiplies χ :

 S_0^2 is Completely Correlated with N (!!!)

This, and other experimental and theoretical issues, make EXAFS amplitudes (and therefore N) less precise than EX-AFS phases (and therefore R).

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum could be over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) or ...

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum could be over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) orover scattering paths for the photo-electron.

N_j: path degeneracy

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

- N_j: path degeneracy
- R_j : half path length

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

- N_j: path degeneracy
- R_j : half path length
- σ_i^2 : path "disorder"

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

- N_j : path degeneracy
- R_j : half path length
- σ_i^2 : path "disorder"
- S_0^2 : amplitude reduction factor

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

- N_j : path degeneracy k is the photoelectron wave number
- R_j : half path length
- σ_i^2 : path "disorder"
- S_0^2 : amplitude reduction factor

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

- N_j : path degeneracy k is the photoelectron wave number
- R_j : half path length $f_j(k)$: scattering factor for the path
- σ_i^2 : path "disorder"
- S_0^2 : amplitude reduction factor

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum could be over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) orover scattering paths for the photo-electron.

- N_j: path degeneracy
- R_j : half path length
- σ_i^2 : path "disorder"
- S_0^2 : amplitude reduction factor

k is the photoelectron wave number $f_i(k)$: scattering factor for the path

 $\delta_j(k)$: phase shift for the path

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum could be over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) orover scattering paths for the photo-electron.

- N_j: path degeneracy
- R_j : half path length
- σ_i^2 : path "disorder"
- S_0^2 : amplitude reduction factor

 ${\sf k}$ is the photoelectron wave number

- $f_j(k)$: scattering factor for the path
- $\delta_j(k)$: phase shift for the path
- $\lambda(k)$: photoelectron mean free path

The EXAFS oscillations can be modelled and interpreted using a conceptually simple equation (the details are more subtle!):

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{N_j S_0^2 f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin\left[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)\right]$$

where the sum could be over shells of atoms (Fe-O, Fe-Fe) orover scattering paths for the photo-electron.

- N_j: path degeneracy
- R_j : half path length
- σ_i^2 : path "disorder"
- S_0^2 : amplitude reduction factor

k is the photoelectron wave number

- $f_j(k)$: scattering factor for the path
- $\delta_j(k)$: phase shift for the path

 $\lambda(k)$: photoelectron mean free path

Because we can compute f(k) and $\delta(k)$, and $\lambda(k)$ we can determine Z, R, N, and σ^2 for scattering paths to neighboring atoms by fitting the data.

A sum over scattering paths allows *multiple-scattering paths*: the photo-electron scatters from *more than one atom* before returning to the central atom:

A sum over scattering paths allows *multiple-scattering paths*: the photo-electron scatters from *more than one atom* before returning to the central atom:

Single Scattering Triangle Paths



For multi-bounce paths, the total amplitude depends on the angles in the photo-electron path.

Focussed Multiple Scattering Paths



A sum over scattering paths allows *multiple-scattering paths*: the photo-electron scatters from *more than one atom* before returning to the central atom:

Single Scattering Triangle Paths



Focussed Multiple Scattering Paths



For multi-bounce paths, the total amplitude depends on the angles in the photo-electron path.

Triangle Paths with angles $45 < \theta < 135^{\circ}$ aren't strong, but there can be a lot of them.

A sum over scattering paths allows *multiple-scattering paths*: the photo-electron scatters from *more than one atom* before returning to the central atom:

Single Scattering Triangle Paths





Focussed Multiple Scattering Paths



For multi-bounce paths, the total amplitude depends on the angles in the photo-electron path.

Triangle Paths with angles $45 < \theta < 135^{\circ}$ aren't strong, but there can be a lot of them.

Linear paths, with angles $\theta \approx 180^\circ$, are very strong: the photo-electron can be focused through one atom to the next.
Sum over Paths and Multiple Scattering

A sum over scattering paths allows *multiple-scattering paths*: the photo-electron scatters from *more than one atom* before returning to the central atom:

Single Scattering Triangle Paths



Focussed Multiple Scattering Paths



For multi-bounce paths, the total amplitude depends on the angles in the photo-electron path.

Triangle Paths with angles $45 < \theta < 135^{\circ}$ aren't strong, but there can be a lot of them.

Linear paths, with angles $\theta \approx 180^\circ$, are very strong: the photo-electron can be focused through one atom to the next.

Multiple Scattering is strongest when $\theta > 150^{\circ}$.

The strong angular dependence can be used to measure bond angles.

For first shell analysis, multiple scattering is hardly ever needed.

C. Segre (IIT)

PHYS 570 - Spring 2015

April 07, 2015 21 / 30

Advantages of NFA steels



High density nanofeatures (NFs) and dislocations provide irradiation damage resistance

NFs trap helium in fine bubbles and prevent accumulation of high concentrations

NFs maintain high stable sink densities for vacancy and self-interstitial atom defect annihilation

NFs maintain high creep strength because of dislocation pinning, allowing operation at temperatures above the displacement damage regime

G.R. Odette, M.J. Alinger, and B.D. Wirth, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **38**, 471–503 (2008).

C. Segre (IIT)

Fabrication of NFA steels



G.R. Odette, M.J. Alinger, and B.D.Wirth, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **38**, 471–503 (2008).

C. Segre (IIT)

Atom probe tomography data



After mechanical alloying, Cr, Ti and Y are uniformly distributed throughout the solid

Consolidated materials show Ti-O and Y to be primarily co-localized in nanoclusters

Use XAS to understand the local structure of these nanoclusters

C.A. Williams, P. Unifantowicz, N. Baluc, G.D.W. Smith, and E.A. Marquis, *Acta Materialia* **61**, 2219–2235 (2013).

C. Segre (IIT)

Samples studied

Sample name	Composition (wt %)					Processing
	Cr	Ti	Мо	W	Y_2O_3	
MA957	14	1	0.3		0.3	hot extruded @ $1150^{\circ}C$
J12YWT	12	0.4		3	0.25	hot extruded @ 1150°C
as received	14	0.4		3		as received powder
as milled	14	0.4		3	0.25	mechanically alloyed powder
850°C	14	0.4		3	0.25	powder annealed @ $850^{\circ}C$
1000°C	14	0.4		3	0.25	powder annealed @ $1000^{\circ}C$
1150°C	14	0.4		3	0.25	powder annealed @ 1150° C

Samples consolidated from as milled powder by hot isostatic pressing were shown to be identical to annealed powders and are thus not discussed.

C. Segre (IIT)

Yttrium edge data



Edges show complex mixture; EXAFS of annealed powders indicate smaller NFs than commercial steels

Titanium edge data



As received, as milled and commercial steels all show a metallic environment; annealed powder edges resemble TiO and EXAFS shows a distinct heavy metal peak at $\sim 2.6 \text{\AA}$

C. Segre (IIT)

Ti in BCC structure



As received data can be fit with a simple BCC Fe model

Ti in BCC structure



As received data can be fit with a simple BCC Fe model



Commercial alloys fit with this model plus a small amount of Ti-O neighbors

C. Segre (IIT)

April 07, 2015 28 / 30

Ti in TiO structure

Annealed powders have a remarkable resemblance to the cubic TiO calculated spectrum



Ti in TiO structure

Annealed powders have a remarkable resemblance to the cubic TiO calculated spectrum



All can be fit with cubic TiO plus an additional Ti–O path, likely from complex Y–Ti–O oxides



C. Segre (IIT)

The fate of Ti

Commercial steels retain Ti in a metallic BCC lattice for the most part

Annealed powders all have mixture of TiO-like structure and more complex oxides ($\sim 50\%$ each)

Presence of this metastable cubic TiO suggests significant fraction of Ti on the surface of Y–Ti–O NFs (consistent with Marquis & Williams)

The fate of Ti

Commercial steels retain Ti in a metallic BCC lattice for the most part

Annealed powders all have mixture of TiO-like structure and more complex oxides ($\sim 50\%$ each)

Presence of this metastable cubic TiO suggests significant fraction of Ti on the surface of Y–Ti–O NFs (consistent with Marquis & Williams)



TiO cubic suboxide is stabilized on the surface of the Y–Ti–O nanoclusters

The fate of Ti

Commercial steels retain Ti in a metallic BCC lattice for the most part

Annealed powders all have mixture of TiO-like structure and more complex oxides ($\sim 50\%$ each)

Presence of this metastable cubic TiO suggests significant fraction of Ti on the surface of Y–Ti–O NFs (consistent with Marquis & Williams)



TiO cubic suboxide is stabilized on the surface of the Y–Ti–O nanoclusters

S. Liu, G.R. Odette, and C.U. Segre, J. Nucl. Mater. 445, 50-56 (2014).